

Equalities Screening Record Form

| | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Date of Screening: 1/4/12 | Directorate: ECC | Section: Traffic Management & Road Safety | |
| 1. Activity to be assessed | Traffic Regulation Orders (TROs) relating to introduction of charging at The Look Out and Coral Reef car parks including on-street parking restrictions on Nine Mile Ride. | | |
| 2. What is the activity? | <input type="checkbox"/> Policy/strategy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Function/procedure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Project <input type="checkbox"/> Review <input type="checkbox"/> Service <input type="checkbox"/> Organisational change | | |
| 3. Is it a new or existing activity? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | | |
| 4. Officer responsible for the screening | Geoff Reynolds | | |
| 5. Who are the members of the EIA team? | Geoff Reynolds, Adrian Trickett, Neil Mathews | | |
| 6. What is the purpose of the activity? | One of the difficult decisions made to close the £6million funding gap in the council's 2012/13 budget was to introduce car park charges at the car park at The Look Out Discovery Centre and, of necessity, to introduce charges at Coral Reef at the same time. Paying visitors to the Discovery Centre and Coral Reef will mostly be refunded and, while this does not form part of the TRO, must be considered when assessing equalities impact. Double yellow lines will be introduced along Nine Mile Ride within the vicinity of the two car parks. | | |
| 7. Who is the activity designed to benefit/target? | Those impacted are primarily those members of the public parking at The Look Out car park but not paying to use the Discovery Centre. This will be those using the surrounding forest for walking, dog walking, mountain biking and horse riding, and those visiting the Go Ape activity centre, the Discovery Centre shop and/or restaurant and the childrens' play area. The benefit is a financial one to the Council and hence the local council tax payer. | | |
| Protected Characteristics | Please tick yes or no | Is there an impact? What kind of equality impact may there be? Is the impact positive or adverse or is there a potential for both? If the impact is neutral please give a reason. | What evidence do you have to support this? E.g equality monitoring data, consultation results, customer satisfaction information etc Please add a narrative to justify your claims around impacts and describe the analysis and interpretation of evidence to support your conclusion as this will inform members decision making, include consultation results/satisfaction information/equality monitoring data |
| 8. Disability Equality | ✘ | N | No impact. Both car parks already have marked bays for disabled parking close to the buildings. These bays will remain. The (non) charging regime for "blue" badge holders is consistent with the Council's other surface car parks. |
| 9. Racial equality | ✘ | N | No impact. Parking restrictions and charges have no relationship to this protected characteristic. |

| | | | | |
|--|---|---|------------|---|
| 10. Gender equality | ✗ | N | No impact. | Parking restrictions and charges have no relationship to this protected characteristic. |
| 11. Sexual orientation equality | ✗ | N | No impact. | Parking restrictions and charges have no relationship to this protected characteristic. |
| 12. Gender re-assignment | ✗ | N | No impact. | Parking restrictions and charges have no relationship to this protected characteristic. |
| 13. Age equality | ✗ | N | No impact. | Parking restrictions and charges have no relationship to this protected characteristic. |
| 14. Religion and belief equality | ✗ | N | No impact. | Parking restrictions and charges have no relationship to this protected characteristic. |
| 15. Pregnancy and maternity equality | ✗ | N | No impact. | Parking restrictions and charges have no relationship to this protected characteristic. |
| 16. Marriage and civil partnership equality | ✗ | N | No impact. | Parking restrictions and charges have no relationship to this protected characteristic. |
| 17. Please give details of any other potential impacts on any other group (e.g. those on lower incomes/carer's/ex-offenders) and on promoting good community relations. | Those with lower incomes will be disproportionately impacted by the introduction of these charges. Their access to the forest and related facilities will be disproportionately impacted. Their access to The Look Out and Coral Reef will not be impacted since refunds for car park charges will be provided. | | | |
| 18. If an adverse/negative impact has been identified can it be justified on grounds of promoting equality of opportunity for one group or for any other reason? | No. | | | |
| 19. If there is any difference in the impact of the activity when considered for each of the equality groups listed in 8 – 14 above; how significant is the difference in terms of its nature and the number of people likely to be affected? | None. | | | |
| 20. Could the impact constitute unlawful discrimination in relation to any of the Equality Duties? | ✗ | N | | |
| 21. What further information or data is required to better understand the impact? Where and how can | None required. | | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| that information be obtained? | | | |
| 22. On the basis of sections 7 – 17 above is a full impact assessment required? | Y | N | |
| 23. If a full impact assessment is not required; what actions will you take to reduce or remove any potential differential/adverse impact, to further promote equality of opportunity through this activity or to obtain further information or data? Please complete the action plan in full, adding more rows as needed. | | | |
| Action | Timescale | Person Responsible | Milestone/Success Criteria |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 24. Which service, business or work plan will these actions be included in? | ECC | | |
| 25. Please list the current actions undertaken to advance equality or examples of good practice identified as part of the screening? | <p>The statutory consultation process undertaken as part of Traffic Regulation Order promotion is dictated by legislation and consists of public advertisement inviting any objections to the proposals. Public notices are placed on site and in the local press following which members of the public have 21 days to respond.</p> <p>Ensure that car park machines are positioned and designed to allow good access for the disabled.</p> | | |
| 26. Chief Officers signature. | Signature: Bev Hindle Date: | | |