

| Public or Org | Parish /Town Council | What works well/ What do you like (Comments are unedited)   | Are there any changes you'd like? (Comments are unedited)  | Further comments (Comments are unedited)   | Working Group Response  |
|---------------|----------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Public        | Winkfield            | Winkfield Parish Council look after the area's public areas really well. I am impressed with their performance. BFCC are very good at communication and are particularly good at keeping the roads gritted in winter and also clearing the roads of fallen trees following windy weather.   | No, I would like them left as they are.  | No   | Thank you for submission. Your comments have been shared with the working group and were considered as part of the group's deliberations. |
| Public        | Crowthorne           | It is great that Crowthorne is left as a village and there is green space around, local schools for local children.   | Reduce the number of houses being built. Make sure there are clear boundaries between towns and parishes. Increase the facilities in all areas of the village. Shops, pubs, restaurants, parks, sports facilities.   | There needs to be less housing as the infrastructure and facilities cannot cope. The facilities on the Bucklers Park site need to be finished - community centre, shop, school, pub. Less houses and complete these first.   | Thank you for submission. Your comments have been shared with the working group and were considered as part of the group's deliberations. |
| Public        | Bracknell Town       | Roads and/or traffic signals/signs or Lights needs more work and follow up to avoid continuous failure.   | Looks at Quality of living and people within Bracknell, its surprisingly how the town center looks lower in Shops Quality and Quantities compared to Reading. Sometimes It's not matter population but spending power to attract rights shops. Seeing Market team need to do more work | No   | Thank you for submission. Your comments have been shared with the working group and were considered as part of the group's deliberations. |
| Public        | Winkfield            | I've always felt that Forest Park, where I live, sits well with The Warren and Martins Heron. They are connected well by the road structure and are a similar demographic. Joining Forest Park to Crown Wood was to the detriment of Forest Park as I feel we were always the underdog in that arrangement. I'm pleased that Forest Park will return to being associated with The Warren and Martins Heron. |  | I'm just hopeful that under the new Parish arrangements that the councillors we have will actually respond to residents in all cases and not just when they can have an easy win   | Thank you for submission. Your comments have been shared with the working group and were considered as part of the group's deliberations. |
| Public        | Sandhurst Town       | College Town and Owlsmoor are both too small individually, should be combined.  | College Town and Owlsmoor are both too small individually, should be combined.   | No   | Thank you for submission. Your comments have been shared with the working group and were considered as part of the group's deliberations. |
| Public        | Warfield             |   |  | I would far prefer to be part of Winkfield than Warfield. The resident's input to the Warfield Neighborhood Plan was far from satisfactory with a poor consultation process. The process covered the letter of the law but was lacking in adequate feedback. The NP process in Winkfield was very inclusive and appeared to have a thorough consultation, feedback and action process. | Thank you for submission. Your comments have been shared with the working group and were considered as part of the group's deliberations. |
| Public        | Binfield             | The existing parish worked well until the additional housing developments on temple park/blue mountain and copped beech areas, but now the local doctor surgery in Binfield is in my opinion suffering from the massive increase in new patients. Facilities need to be improved and added to, or the boundaries tightened.   | See above  | More off street parking to avoid churning up of grassed areas, blocked footpaths and pavements. More police presence 'beat bobbies' on the streets.  | Thank you for submission. Your comments have been shared with the working group and were considered as part of the group's deliberations. |

|        |                |  |   |  |  |
|--------|----------------|--|---|--|--|
| Public | Bracknell Town | The new town is a great success. The public toilets are the [not discernible] I have ever seen. Contact and the response of council receptionists and now much better and realistic than they were 10 to 15 years ago.   | The council has taken the decision to determine its directly employed maintenance staff and by and large relies from contractors. Whether this is sensible or not effective is not possible to determine by residents. However, it would appear that the council does not have an efficient number of specialist inspectors. As an engineering manager with two international companies, and used contractors to carry out work, but my team inspected their work before paying for it. A good example would be our pavements and underpasses where the contractors are blowing leaves and cutting rather than [not discernible] and removing boxes. The pathway beyond the Elms and holly spring lane in a typical example of where the narrow pathways [not discernible] leaves on an annual basis. This is not an isolated case. [not discernible] | On the whole I am satisfied but I would like to emphasise the need for qualified work inspectors in all council departments. I am 86 years old and not looking for a job under any circumstances, however, I am conscious that someone like me but much younger could save you a great deal of money! The council should not be paying for shoddy workmanship!! You would need such a person to establish a suitable monitoring service. | Thank you for submission. Your comments have been shared with the working group and were considered as part of the group's deliberations.  |
| Public | Bracknell Town | Very little works well. It is unclear-different ward continues exist at town council and borough council level. Wards are Too small that in a not discernible walk I regularly pass through several and use services provided by multiple low tier councils as well as the borough council. The extra precept cost and elections only serve to complicate My [not discernible] to engage in local civic life. I have very little idea who [not discernible] facilities And at the small scale they are, multiple authorities seem unnecessary. Borough town parish et cetera also confuses people re powers of each. | Remove all lower tier councils and subsume who borough council. If this is not possible at best worth towards calling each lower tier the same title of community council. Standardise ward boundaries between upper and lower tier.  | I have used more [not discernible] and use facilities in Bullbrook and The Paths than with Harmanswater and Crown Wood. I would prefer a boundary based on the train line to move the north of Harmanswater above it with Bulbrook rather than clutching along the A329  | Thank you for submission. Your comments have been shared with the working group and were considered as part of the group's deliberations.  |
| Public | Binfield       | Why do we need both  | As above would save costs on duplication of effort  |  | Thank you for submission. Your comments have been shared with the working group and were considered as part of the group's deliberations.  |
| Public | Bracknell Town | I find it difficult to understand why the parish council public areas appear tidier and better kept than some areas in the town areas since we all pay the same local taxes  |   |  | Thank you for submission. Your comments have been shared with the working group and were considered as part of the group's deliberations. If there are any particular areas of Bracknell Town that you feel are not being maintained appropriately, please notify our customer services team so these can be investigated. |
| Public | Bracknell Town |  | The flats being built in the town centre should be for local residents to buy not for BTL and aribnb who have no connection or contribution to the town.  |  | Thank you for submission. Your comments have been shared with the working group and were considered as part of the group's deliberations.  |
| Public |                | No change  | No change   | No thank you   |  |

|  |                 |  |   |   |  |
|--|-----------------|--|---|---|--|
|  | <p>Warfield</p> | <p>Warfield Parish governance seems to work well for the majority of the parish. From the perspective of a Hayley Green resident, the exception is ward Warfield East, which relates better to Winkfield Parish for reasons explained below. (Similar arguments could probably be put forward for Nuptown and Miaidens Green/Winkfield.) I therefore suggest below moving Warfield East ward into Winkfield Parish. In contrast, the consolidation of all of Warfield Park into Warfield borough and parish wards would seem logical, but that is not my area to comment on.</p> | <p>I suggest ward Warfield East is moved from Warfield Parish to Winkfield Parish. The move would correct a current anomaly: the area is better connected to settlements in Winkfield Parish, and has better community cohesion with them. There is a sense of geographical and social alienation from Warfield Parish. My reasons focus on Hayley Green (where I have lived for 30 years) and its relationship with Winkfield Row and Brockhill.</p> <p>POPULATION . I have not assessed numerical impact of the proposed move. However, the population of parish ward Warfield East is small and its loss seems negligible compared to changes to Warfield Parish population owing to build-out of SA9 (Woodhurst etc.). BOUNDARY. The move would make the Winkfield/Warfield parish boundaries coterminous with the (new) borough ward boundaries, which is a Boundary Commission preference (see also 'Further comments' for their conclusions on the best ward boundary). In the Hayley Green and Brockhill area, the ward Warfield East boundary follows the Bull Brook (a 'main river' for BFC planning purposes) west of Hayley Green, then Bracknell Road and north up Malt Hill: that boundary is easy to discern 'on the ground'. In contrast, the current parish boundary is not: I observed one Warfield Parish Council planning meeting where a long-serving councillor produced a map to show other committee members where the current parish boundary east of Hayley Green lies.</p> <p>GEOGRAPHY/TRANSPORT. Hayley Green is closest to and most accessible from Winkfield Row and Brockhill which I see as my community. I feel dislocated from Warfield Parish: Hayley Green lies east of Bracknell Road/Fiveways junction, which is a barrier to pedestrian movement. It is awkward/potentially hazardous to cross particularly at busy times of day, and effectively severs us from the west of Warfield Parish. Hayley Green is north of Westmorland Park/Hayley Green Woods with no PROW access. Warfield Parish Council acknowledges poor pedestrian/cycle access to Edmunds Lane at Westmorland Park (and thence Whitegrove School, Tesco including the bus hub, and onwards into Warfield urban area): "Access to Edmunds Lane from Forest Road is via a narrow path and is not ideal" (<a href="http://warfieldparishcouncil.gov.uk/Hayley_Green_Rights_of_Way_41790.aspx">warfieldparishcouncil.gov.uk/Hayley_Green_Rights_of_Way_41790.aspx</a>). No PROW connects Hayley Green to other Warfield settlements, aside from Warfield church (via Malt Hill), and other routes are circuitous. In contrast, there are convenient and pleasant PROW to Winkfield Row and Brockhill.</p> <p>COMMUNITY LINKS. Hayley Green is well connected with Winkfield Row and Brockhill. My children were among a significant proportion of Hayley Green children to attend Winkfield St Mary's school, and Hayley Green residents have served as school governors. Some of our residents have strong links with St Mary's church in Winkfield. In the absence of pleasant routes and the distance to most services/facilities in Warfield Parish, I gravitate east. Although I shop for groceries at Tesco-Whitegrove I also use Waitrose. I go east for other facilities and services (medical, post office, education, social, etc.) in Winkfield Parish. Village residents have hired halls, attended classes in Winkfield Row and Chavey Down, attended Winkfield Row fete (and have had a stall there), etc. Residents of all three villages enjoy the few but walkable amenities such as The Cricketers, Don Beni and Stirrups. Hayley Green residents are welcomed to Winkfield community action events. We have campaigned jointly for issues affecting us all, such as borough proposals for large-scale housing in Winkfield Row/Hayley Green, proposals for increased use of the waste facility at Brockhill, and the Warfield Neighbourhood Plan allocation at Hayley Green. Hayley Green happenings and its residents are included on Winkfield Row social media. All this demonstrates that Hayley Green is</p> | <p>I have two further comments relating to (1) the Boundary Commission ward review and (2) the Warfield Neighbourhood Plan allocation in Hayley Green. 1. The Boundary Commission agreed that (what is now) parish ward Warfield East is a better fit with a Winkfield borough ward than a Warfield borough ward: "Three well-evidenced representations proposed an amendment to our draft recommendations to include the area of Hayley Green in a Winkfield ward, citing community identity and access to amenities as key examples of their connections to Winkfield. They also highlighted the geographical separation between Hayley Green and other villages in Warfield, suggesting that the existing arrangement provides a clearer, more identifiable boundary." (Paragraph 91 in 'New electoral arrangements for Bracknell Forest Council, Final Recommendations, December 2020'; <a href="http://lgbce.org.uk/all-reviews/south-east/berkshire/bracknell-forest">lgbce.org.uk/all-reviews/south-east/berkshire/bracknell-forest</a>.) 2. The proposed move of ward Warfield East means the transfer of the large, single development allocation in the Warfield Neighbourhood Plan P to another parish. The uneasy fit of Hayley Green in Warfield Parish need not be perpetuated because of the Neighbourhood Plan allocation. A Neighbourhood Plan area, when designated, does not always match parish/town boundaries (although to date in Bracknell Forest it has). National policy advice is summarised as: "A parish council, prospective neighbourhood forum or community organisation can put forward the neighbourhood area that they consider appropriate for neighbourhood planning; this does not have to follow administrative boundaries." (<a href="http://gov.uk/guidance/neighbourhood-planning--2#designating-a-neighbourhood-area">gov.uk/guidance/neighbourhood-planning--2#designating-a-neighbourhood-area</a>). The Warfield Neighbourhood Plan designated area would not be affected by a retrospective move of part of it (Warfield East ward) to a different parish. Likewise, the Winkfield Neighbourhood Plan would continue to be based on that designated area. The benefits of the Warfield Neighbourhood Plan (higher percentage CIL for development in the parish, need for only a 3-year housing land supply, etc.) could continue to accrue to the qualifying body that prepared the plan, i.e. Warfield Parish Council. Winkfield Parish Council would likewise accrue relevant benefits from a future adopted Winkfield Neighbourhood Plan. Under this scenario, Hayley Green would be better served by Winkfield Parish Council because we would share local impacts/benefits with Winkfield</p> | <p>Thank you for submission. Your comments have been shared with the working group and were considered as part of the group's deliberations.</p> |
|--|-----------------|--|---|---|--|

|        |                |  |   |   |  |
|--------|----------------|--|---|---|--|
|        |                |  | <p>part of a community extending into Winkfield: what affects one village affects us all.</p> <p>EFFECTIVE AND CONVENIENT GOVERNANCE. The area is isolated from the rest of Warfield Parish to the detriment of residents who have little interaction on any salient matters and feel our needs are lost. The common issues shared with settlements in Winkfield Parish would be more effectively and conveniently addressed by Winkfield Parish Council, which would also solve the dilemma that Warfield Parish Council currently faces in deciding whether to devote scarce time/resources to issues affecting only a small population and area of the parish. Moving ward Warfield East to Winkfield Parish would facilitate better coordinated consideration of issues. Three examples: Bracknell Road and Forest Road through Hayley Green, Brockhill and Winkfield Row carry road traffic that funnels east/north from Harvest Ride to the strategic road network in RBWM. Unlike villages on Forest Road further west in Warfield, there is no bypass (distributor road); the Warfield Neighbourhood Plan understandably focuses on measures to reroute traffic to the bypass from those western settlements. It would be more convenient and effective if traffic-related concerns in Hayley Green were addressed by Winkfield Parish Council as part of the wider problem affecting Winkfield countryside settlements. When withdrawal of the daily 162/162a bus service was discussed at Warfield Parish Council, it was noted that the only settlement affected in Warfield Parish was Hayley Green and the case for subsidy/etc. was therefore hard to make. I agree. Other developments/settlements in Warfield are served by different bus routes. The limited bus service we now have also runs through Winkfield Row. Potential for better bus provision for the small settlements in northern Winkfield would be more conveniently and effectively addressed by Winkfield Parish Council. Addressing sewerage problems centred on the old sewer network that converges near the Cricketers Lane/Bracknell Road junction has been spearheaded for many years by Winkfield Parish Council. While Hayley Green has been included in their area-wide approach because our sewer is part of the problem, it would be more conveniently addressed if the village were part of Winkfield Parish.</p> | <p>Row and Brockhill, while other settlements/developments in Warfield parish would be unaffected. Winkfield Parish Council could act more effectively to manage impacts and ensure that benefits of the Warfield Neighbourhood Plan are realised for all the villages.</p> |  |
| Public | Bracknell Town |  | <p>I would like to highlight two changes I think should be made: 1) Having lived in Jennett's Park, I know all too well how the residents round the northern part (commonly known as Wykery Copse) feel it bizarre that their small section comes under Binfield parish whilst the rest of the estate comes under Bracknell town. This situation should at last be rectified by moving the border up to the London Waterloo to Reading railway line, as illustrated in the attachment. This represents a neater division than running it through the A329. 2) I would refute talk that the boundary between Wildridings and Easthampstead town wards should be altered along Rectory Lane. Whilst on first appearance the existing boundary seems odd, it is a way to ensure the Easthampstead shops on Rectory Lane are in an Easthampstead town ward - running the border along Rectory Lane would see the Easthampstead shops included in a Wildridings town ward, a bizarre situation for a set of amenities at the heart of the Easthampstead community.</p>   |   | <p>Thank you for submission. Your comments have been shared with the working group and were considered as part of the group's deliberations.</p> |