

## Summary of Representations Received Autumn 2013

### Request to Modify Section 52 Agreement dated 16<sup>th</sup> February 1990, Land at Blue Mountain Golf Course, Off Wood Lane, Binfield

A letter from the Trustees of the Luff Pension Scheme and Luff Farms Limited to Bracknell Forest Council states a request to be released from the provisions of an Agreement entered into pursuant to (inter alia) Section 52 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971, which agreement restricts the development and use of such land.

#### Public Consultation:

The landowner's request was publicised and made available for viewing together with the 1990 Agreement. The publicity period of 1 month ended on 10th November 2013. The summary shows all representations received up to 30 November.

<b>Total number of representations received</b>	322
<b>Objecting</b>	321
<b>Supporting</b>	0
<b>Neutral</b>	1

<b>Representations received from Binfield</b>	215
<b>Representations from outside Binfield</b>	19
<b>Representations with no address supplied</b>	88

#### 15 Categories of Objection

		<b>Frequency of each category</b>
A.	The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds	92
B.	The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.	118
C.	The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.	198
D.	Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.	132
E.	The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.	72
F.	Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement	124
G.	Existing infrastructure is insufficient	71
H.	Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.	194
I.	Planning policy does not support the development of the golf course land	2
J.	Loss of wildlife and wildlife habitat	21
K.	Other sites should be developed before the golf course	42
L.	The modification has only been sought for financial gain and will impact on local property prices.	15
M.	Adverse impact on employment and business opportunities	3
N.	Threat to archaeological deposits	1
O.	If released no guarantee that the land will be used for the purposes proposed in Site Allocations Local Plan (SALP)	1

<b>Ref.</b>	<b>From</b>	<b>Response details and points made.</b>	<b>Objection Categories (A-O)</b>
1	MB Binfield	<p>The consultation process is a foregone conclusion and the development will go ahead. Upsetting that golf course will be developed, as it should be protected for future generations to enjoy. The covenant in the Agreement should not be so easily overturned.</p> <p>Plans for housing, football stadium and school are all leading to the overdevelopment of Binfield, resulting in a loss of rural character and the gap between it and Bracknell</p>	<p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds  B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.  C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p>
2	SR Binfield	<p>The Agreement was to protect the land for 125 years and should not be revoked so easily. Infrastructure is already pressurised, and the development will cause additional congestion. The golf course is a well used and popular facility which provides recreational opportunities and jobs. Other courses are unsuitable. The golf course provides open space for walking, cycling and horse riding. The NPPF supports prosperous rural economies and access to open spaces</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient  B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.  H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.  I: Planning policy does not support the development of the golf course land.  M: Adverse impact on employment and business opportunities</p>
3	CH Temple Park	<p>Binfield will be engulfed by urban sprawl and lose the wide open spaces. Roads, schools, leisure, waste and hospital facilities will come under additional strain</p>	<p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.  G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient</p>
4	DE Binfield	<p>The land should be maintained as open space  The course divides Binfield and Bracknell  The area provides a natural resource and habitat for wildlife.</p>	<p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.  D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap</p>

			between the village and Bracknell will be lost. J: Loss of wildlife and wildlife habitat
5	DWVP Binfield	The golf course is a valuable local facility, and compensation should be offered to the residents of Temple Meads. The Agreement should only be modified with sufficient public support.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.
6	DH Temple Park	Property was purchased on the basis that the golf course would not be developed, with accessible green space. The development will result in increase congestion and will impact on property prices. It is unbelievable that the Agreement could be changed after only 23 years of a 125 year Agreement	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. L: The modification has only be sought for financial gain and will impact on local property prices.
7	S&DC Temple Park	Modifying the Agreement would be a direct contradiction to the basis on which the land was sold in the first place. The Agreement should not be changed simply in order to meet housing targets, and could set a dangerous precedent for other Agreements. A significant reason for moving to the area was for the green space and the protection afforded to the golf course. The golf course provide valuable recreational and conference facilities, in addition to wildlife habitats and a provides a boundary between Binfield and Bracknell. If the development goes ahead Binfield will become part of the urban sprawl of Bracknell. Local infrastructure would be swamped and unable to cope with the additional demand for GPs, shops and congestion on local roads.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. J: Loss of wildlife and wildlife habitat H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.
8	CP and DW Temple Park	Property was purchased due to its proximity to Blue Mountain and open green space which is popular with residents for a variety of activities. The S52 Agreement is stated in the title deeds of the property to protect the land,	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the

		it is shocking that the Council are seeking to overthrow the Agreement they arranged.	Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and recreational facilities E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.
9	EB Temple Park	The s52 Agreement was entered into in good faith, and the Council believe they can waive the Agreement and develop the land. This is in direct contradiction to the 125 years agreed in the first place. The Agreement is stated in the title deeds of all the residents of Temple Park and, and it could start a dangerous precedent to modify the Agreement. The golf course is a valuable local facility, not only for golf, but also recreational and conference facilities in addition to the clubhouse. If the green space is developed it will be lost forever. Local infrastructure is already failing to cope with existing dwellings. If Bracknell needs additional houses conversion or demolition of existing empty office blocks should be considered, which would contain traffic in the area where infrastructure could be improved most effectively. The site was also supposed to remain undeveloped and safe guarded in order to provide a strategic gap	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course
10	MM Binfield	The site is a beautiful area enjoyed by walkers, cyclists and wildlife. Empty office blocks should be torn down and the space used to create flats for habitation.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course J: Loss of wildlife and wildlife habitat
11	MG Binfield	This is one of the last remaining parts of the town that has not already been ruined by the town Council.	K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course.
12	MJ	The covenant used to protect the golf course should remain in place for the full	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land

	Temple Park	125 years stated. One of the main reasons move to Bracknell is due to the green spaces and golf courses.	was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
13	SW Binfield	It is undemocratic for a small number of people to be able to remove the covenant and should not happen in the UK. Shocked to find that a small area of green space and sports facility used by so many are under threat when brownfield sites are available in the borough. The proposals makes a mockery of the law and the land should therefore be protected.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
14	BS Binfield	The golf course provides footpaths to use for country walks, and further development would destroy one of the main reasons to live in the area. Development would put additional pressures on the transport system, particularly at peak times, which would be exacerbated by additional hosing. Some of the mostly empty office blocks should be converted to flats first.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient
15	FC Binfield	Confirms objection to modification.	C: The Agreement should not be changed
16	JS&KW Temple Park	The Agreement stated that the land should be kept for recreational usage for e 125 years, and the site should be kept as it is.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
17	GC Temple Park	Green space will be lost which was an important part of the New Town concept, with the unnecessary expansion of the settlement into the countryside. One of the main reasons for moving into the area were the green spaces.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.

18	YC Temple Park	The Agreement is a legally binding document and should be retained.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
19	E&SM Binfield	Object to the modification of the Agreement	C: The Agreement should not be changed
20	MS Temple Park	<p>The main reason the property was purchased was due to its proximity to the open spaces of the golf course.</p> <p>The Agreement is referred to in the title deeds of the properties of Temple Park and it should not be removed as the course provides a community facility for local residents, and acts as a green gap between Bracknell and Binfield.</p> <p>A legal Agreement is pointless if it can be amended so easily. Homeowners should have been written to by the Council because of the statement in their title deeds.</p>	<p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p> <p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p> <p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds</p> <p>E: The Agreement is referred to in the Deeds of Temple Park residents</p>
21	LH Temple Park	<p>The Agreement should be kept for the full 125 years, and is referred to in the title deeds of the properties in Temple Park.</p> <p>Consultation has been insufficient. The golf course provides a green gap between Bracknell and Binfield.</p>	<p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p> <p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds</p>
22	LC Binfield	The development of the site will remove the only existing area between Binfield and Bracknell. Roads will be insufficient, and surgeries and schools are oversubscribed. Wildlife will also be affected, and the recreational facilities provided by the golf course will be lost.	<p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p> <p>G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p>
23	EC Temple Park	<p>The covenant is referred to in the deeds of the properties in Temple Park, and residents have not been consulted on changes to the Agreement.</p> <p>Council tax money should not be wasted on the modification of the Agreement</p>	<p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p> <p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds</p>

		and it should be maintained for the remaining 102 years. The current gap between Binfield and Bracknell will be affected and community and recreational facilities will be lost.	E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement
24	KA Temple Park	The residents of Temple Park have not been sufficiently consulted on the changes. Tax payers' money should not be used to break a legal agreement which was signed on behalf of the residents to protect the land. The golf course provides green and tranquil views, and additional congestion and pollution would be caused.	G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
25	VW Temple Park	The landowner who made the agreement is now seeking to break it simply for financial gain. The development will lead to further congestion on local roads, alongside additional noise and pollution. New houses are not needed when empty offices could be converted and green spaces preserved.	L: The modification has only be sought for financial gain and will impact on local property prices. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course
26	PJ Temple Park	The decision to live in the area was based on the proximity to open spaces, so the Council are urged to consider alternative options for development.	K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
27	B&HHR Temple Park	The proximity of Temple Park was a main reason behind purchasing the property. In addition there are 102 years remaining on the Agreement and it should not be amended or removed, with inadequate consultation having taking place on this change.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds
28	M&BS Temple Park	Open spaces should be preserved for recreational facilities and as open space. The proposed development will cause additional congestion on local roads, which will be exacerbated by the football stadium, and the noise it will create. Together this will dissuade higher tax paying professionals from living in the	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent.

		<p>area.</p> <p>There remains over 100 years on the Agreement, and would not expect council tax funds to be used to counter any legal challenge.</p>	<p>The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p> <p>G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient</p> <p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement</p>
29	EL Temple Park	<p>Residents of Temple Park have not been consulted on an Agreement which is included in their title deeds, and which has 102 years left remaining.</p> <p>Tax payers' money should not be used to break a legal agreement, which preserves the open space and gap between Binfield and Bracknell. Other brownfield sites should be developed prior to an area of high amenity value.</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p> <p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p> <p>K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course</p>
30	GM Binfield	<p>The Council should not be allowed to make an Agreement and then break it at their will. The golf course provides important sports and recreational facilities, alongside the views provided.</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p>
31	MH Temple Park	<p>Public consultation with Temple Park residents has been insufficient, and the development will bring high levels of noise and pollution, alongside ruining existing views.</p> <p>The Agreement should not be modified when there are 102 years remaining, and in particular that tax payers' money could be used. The development will also</p>	<p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p> <p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent.</p>



		affect the ability of local residents to enjoy the sports and recreational facilities offered by the Council, and the importance of the gap between Binfield and Bracknell.	The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement
32	MR Temple Park	The Agreement stated that the land should be preserved for a period of 125 years, and should therefore not be amended.  Council funds provided by local residents should not be used on legal fees to amend the Agreement. If developed, access to open space and leisure facilities will be limited, pollution and congestion will be increased and property values will be affected.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient
33	DCH Temple Park	The covenant stipulating that the land will not be developed is included in their title deeds, and are surprised that legal documents can be so easily revoked.  Local views will be affected, and there will be no green areas between Bracknell, Wokingham and Binfield.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.
34	TH Temple Park	On purchasing the property no policies or proposals stated that the golf course would be developed. Removing the s52 makes a mockery of the legal process, and there has been no public consultation, with the Council trying to hide these	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the

		plans. Taxes should not be used to break the legal agreement and it is a waste of money in the current climate.	Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement
35	A&SH Temple Park	One of the reasons the property was purchased was the local green space, and dismayed that the despite the covenant on the land it can still be built on. It is immoral that the elected Council can disregard the electorate, and the football stadium is not needed.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds
36	CSS Temple Park	Residents should have been properly consulted on these proposals , and the removal of the legal agreement should not be possible.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds
37	E&LP Binfield	There has been a lack of consultation on the proposal, and the covenant stated still has 102 years remaining. In addition, it is not a proper use of Council tax money to break from this Agreement. The gap between Bracknell and Binfield will be destroyed and views will be ruined.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.
38	EM Temple Park	The covenant is stated in the deeds of property in Temple Park and should not be removed without thorough consultation.	E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other

			sporting/open space purposes.
39	GW Temple Park	The Agreement stated 125 years, and not just the first 23, and it should not be changed without discussions with legal representatives of residents. The golf course is a well used facility and Council tax money should not be used to modify the Agreement.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
40	H&JP Binfield	Residents understood that the golf course could not be developed until 2115, and therefore feel betrayed that Binfield could lose its village identity.  Concerns regarding the impact of the increased traffic on the B3408, with peak time commutes particularly congested. The proposals at Amen Corner will not help this. The public consultation regarding the development has been a complete farce and the views of local people have not been considered.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds
41	JH Temple Park	The Borough Council signed an Agreement for 125 years, and this should not be altered. Council tax money should not be used to break such a legal agreement and could limit the ability of the Council to enforce such an Agreement elsewhere in the borough.  The open space, sports and recreational facilities will be lost with the development of the site.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement
42	JS Binfield	The development will lead to the urbanisation of the rural environment, despite very few of the new houses being occupied by local people. Other golf courses are inadequate, or frequented by amateurs. The consultation process has been phony, and it is surprising Conservative Councillors want to encourage development.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. H: Loss of open space and recreational facilities.

43	R&MM Binfield	Residents were not consulted on these proposed changes which will effectively make Binfield a suburb of Bracknell. The site is well used, not only by golfers, but also cyclists and the elderly.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
44	MP Temple Park	Current infrastructure for the area is at maximum capacity, particularly highways and local roundabouts. There has been no consultation and there is no reason to close a functioning business.	G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds
45	RSH Temple Park	Residents of Temple Park have not been consulted individually on the proposed changes to the s52 Agreement, and Council tax money should not be used break a legal agreement.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement
46	PT Temple Park	Residents have not been consulted on the proposed modifications. Council tax money should not be used to modify an Agreement which local residents are not in favour of.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
47	PB Binfield	There are 102 years remaining on the existing Agreement, and the Council tax money should not be used to modify the Agreement. Recreation and community facilities will be lost, in addition to the green gap between Binfield Village and Bracknell.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.
48	B&LW Temple Park	The Agreement gave residents the secure knowledge that the site would not be developed, particularly as it's stated in the title deeds of their property.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be

		Developing the site will result in the loss of a valuable recreational facility, and when combined with the proposals at Amen Corner will increase traffic and pollution.	changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.
49	RMC Temple Park	Temple Park residents have not been consulted, and the current Agreement still has 102 years remaining. The development will effect the enjoyment of the open space, sports and recreation facilities, views and tranquillity of the area.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds
50	CB Binfield	The Agreement was put in place to preserve the green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. Council tax money should not be used to break a legal Agreement which was designed to protect the land from development.	D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement
51	HP Temple Park	The Section 52 Agreement is specifically mentioned in the Deeds of properties in Temple Park	E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.
52	IS Temple Park	The purpose of the Agreement is to provide a buffer between the village of Binfield and Bracknell. To consider modifying the Agreement means future confidence in legal Agreements involving the Council will be eroded. Blue Mountain is a well used facility, with its potential loss having a huge impact.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.

		Furthermore Council tax money should not be used to break an Agreement which was put in place by the Council in the first place.	F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement
53	J&CWT Binfield	Do not support the use of tax payers' money to break the Agreement. Developing the golf course will affect the ability to enjoy the open space, sports and recreational/community facilities available. This and other developments will result in Binfield becoming a part of Bracknell, and no longer a village. The Agreement was put in place to protect the land for a further 102 years.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
54	KR Temple Park	Property was purchased on Temple Park in good faith with the knowledge that the s52 prevented the development of the golf course. The development will remove a hugely popular recreational facility enjoyed by thousands of people.  Brownfield sites across the borough should be developed as Binfield does not have the infrastructure to cope with the additional demand.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area
55	MG Temple Park	The existence of the covenant was a key reason behind the purchase of a property on Temple Park. The area is already overpopulated the removal of important open space will be of considerable detriment to the quality of life of residents.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. M: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area
56	PB Temple Park	One of the main reasons for moving into the area was the golf course, and knowing that 125 year covenant existed to prevent its alternative use. Tax payers' money should not be used to go ahead and break legal agreements of this sort and take the law into your own hands.	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent.

			The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
57	RC&CB Temple Park	The golf course is used for golf lessons and provides opportunities for personal enjoyment. The site should remain accessible as recreational space and is an important part of the community.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
58	JR Binfield	The Agreement should remain in place for the next 102 years to protect residents. Tax payers' money should not be used to break a legal agreement which was signed on the behalf of residents.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement
59	RR Temple Park	Property was bought knowing that the golf course would be retained for the period of 125 years, the Agreement should therefore be maintained for the remaining years.  Developing the site will remove a hugely popular recreational area and green space used by thousands of people. Other brownfield sites in Bracknell should be considered as Binfield does not have the infrastructure to cope with a development of this size.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient
60	RP Temple Park	The Agreement is specifically mentioned in the Deeds of their property and the residents of Temple Park should not be deprived as a result. There has been no consultation with residents on this proposal, which would adversely affect the value of their properties.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.

61	SS Binfield	The Agreement was stated for 125 years to protect the golf course from development, and there is a strong objection to this being broken after only 23 years, with 102 years remaining. Building on the golf course	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
62	SS Binfield	This and other developments in Wokingham and at Amen Corner and Warfield will add to the excessive congestion, and increases in pollution. The Agreement is in place to protect the site and its open space, and the Council has no right to challenge this Agreement.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient
63	SJ Temple Park	Residents have not been properly consulted on the proposals, and the Agreement should not be changed to suit the Council, especially with 102 years remaining on the original Agreement.  Council tax payers' money should not be used to break a legal agreement, and should instead be used to support infrastructure provision. Developing the golf course will negatively impact on the enjoyment of living in Binfield, including the open space, sports, and recreational/community facilities.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds
64	SC Temple Park	As a golfer one of the main reasons for purchasing a property in Temple Park was the proximity to the course. The Agreement was signed for 125 years, and there is no reason why this should be changed.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.
65	AB Temple Park	Brownfield sites should be developed instead which are adjacent to Bracknell's existing infrastructure and amenities. Tax payers' money should not be used to	F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement



		break a legal agreement made on behalf of residents for the financial benefit of developers.	K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course
66	DS Temple Park	<p>Residents have not been properly consulted on the proposals, which may affect the title deeds of property owners. The Agreement should not be changed to suit the Council, especially with 102 years remaining on the original Agreement.</p> <p>Council tax payers' money should not be used to break a legal agreement, and should instead be used to support the development of local infrastructure which is currently insufficient. Developing the golf course will negatively impact on the enjoyment of living in Binfield, including the open space, sports, and recreational/community facilities.</p>	<p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds</p> <p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.</p> <p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement</p> <p>G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient.</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p>
67	HR&BH Temple Park	One of the main reasons for deciding to live in the area was the proximity to the golf course and open space. Tax payers' money should not be used to modify an Agreement which was signed on behalf of the residents of Temple Park, and it is morally wrong for the Agreement to be broken. Developing the site will reduce the current gap between Binfield and Bracknell.	<p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p> <p>B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.</p> <p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p>
68	HB Temple Park	<p>The 125 year Agreement is set and should not be changed under any circumstances.</p> <p>All of the green space around Binfield and Warfield is being built on by developers keen to line their own pockets.</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a</p>

			recreational/community facility.
69	KV Temple Park	One of the main reasons for living in the area was the access to open space, and the certainty that they would be maintained. Property was sold on the basis that the Agreement would remain in place, and removing it would also remove the only green space between Binfield and Bracknell. Other properties will be adversely affected by increases in congestion and noise levels.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient
70	NJ Temple Park	Part of the reason behind purchasing a property in this location was the golf course and the facilities available. It is not legal to change the Agreement, and to use Council taxes to do so.	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement
71	JBB Temple Park	The main reason to buy a property in the area was for the open space provided by Blue Mountain, and the knowledge that it was protected for 125 years. This Agreement is also stated in the title deeds of properties in Temple Park. It is unacceptable to use tax payers' money to break legal agreements and take the law into your own hands.	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.
72	T&KK Temple Park	One of the main reasons to move to the area was the golf course, and residents have not been consulted on the modifications. Other sites at Amen Corner and Cabbage Hill are sufficient for the housing needs, and empty offices should also be converted. Existing roads are already congested, which will be increased by additional traffic from the football stadium.	K: Other sites should be developed prior to the golf course. B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient
73	AH Binfield	The Agreement was put into place to provide open space and preserve a green gap between Binfield and Bracknell.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap

		One of the main reasons for buying property in Binfield was the green gap and open space, and tax payers' money should not be used to break a legal agreement.	between the village and Bracknell will be lost. B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.
74	LS Temple Park	Agree that schools are needed in the area but do not agree that this is the best place for them. The legal agreement is referred to in the title deeds of their property for another 102 years and residents have not been consulted on the proposed changes.  Infrastructure is inadequate to take the amount of increased traffic which will be generated, especially with the new Warfield and Amen Corner estates.	G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds
75	PS Temple Park	The legal agreement is referred to in the title deeds of property in Temple Park, and residents have not been consulted on the changes which affect legal titles. The Agreement was put in place to protect Blue Mountain for another 102 years and should not be changed. Infrastructure will not be able to cope with the increased amounts of traffic generated with queues already forming from Bolton's Lane. The gap between Binfield and Bracknell is closing and the rural environment is being ruined.	G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.
76	BH Temple Park	One of the main reasons for moving to Temple Park was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.

77	DC Binfield	The congested roads and facilities of Binfield are already being threatened with overdevelopment from Wokingham and Cabbage Hill. There is a pressing need to preserve the green spaces between Binfield and the encroachment of neighbouring towns.	G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
78	JP Binfield	Residents have not been properly consulted on the proposals, which may affect the title deeds of property owners. The Agreement should not be changed to suit the Council, especially with 102 years remaining on the original Agreement.  Council tax payers' money should not be used to break a legal agreement, and should instead be used to support the development of local infrastructure which is currently insufficient. Developing the golf course will negatively impact on the enjoyment of living in Binfield, including the open space, sports, and recreational/community facilities	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
79	M&MV Temple Park	Residents of Temple Park have not been consulted on the proposed change, which has another 102 years remaining. The property was purchased because of its proximity to the golf course and the views over open land. Furthermore, tax payers' money should not be used to help break the agreement.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement
80	SiH	Residents have not been consulted on the proposed changes, with the legal	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land

	Temple Park	Agreement stated in the deeds of property. One of the reasons for moving to the area was the environment created by the golf course, and its removal will result in a loss of green space which is used for recreation. The Agreement should remain in place for the remainder of the years stated.	was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
81	SaH Temple Park	Residents have not been consulted on the proposed changes, with the legal Agreement stated in the deeds of property. One of the reasons for moving to the area was the environment created by the golf course, and its removal will result in a loss of green space which is used for recreation. The Agreement should remain in place for the remainder of the years stated.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
82	JK Temple Park	The Section 52 Agreement was in place to protect Blue Mountain. It is understandable that Amen Corner is being developed, but in this case residents have been lied to.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
83	PH Binfield	For the Council to consider shrugging off this agreement after such a short time destroys any confidence or respect the public can place in their planners or elected members.  The case for preserving the area as open space for recreational purposes was amply made by the Council in 1990.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.

			H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
84	PM Binfield	The s52 lasts for 102 years, and there is no justification why this should change, with the Council not having the right to break it in order to build more houses. Open space, views, tranquillity, and the green gap between Binfield and Bracknell will all be impacted. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.
85	JB Temple Park	When purchasing property the location of the golf course was an important factor due to the green space available. The course is one of few which is affordable in the area. There are many houses for sale in Bracknell, and there is not enough infrastructure to support this development. The Temple Way/Wokingham Road/London Road roundabout is already heavily congested. There was a lack of consultation from the Council, with a mail drop a more appropriate.	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient.
86	P&PB Binfield	Both parties have a moral obligation to uphold the agreement for the remaining 102 years. The Council should value open space, and consider other building options available within the borough.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course
87	DD Binfield	Residents are being mocked by the request to remove the condition on the use of the golf course. There is no point to such conditions if they can be so easily broken. If the request is approved a huge message is being sent to everyone with covenant son properties and land.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent.

			The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
88	CD Binfield	Object to the removal of the s52 Agreement protecting Blue Mountain	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
89	KD Binfield	There is considerable time left on the Agreement and there is no reason why it should be changed at such an early stage. The purpose of the Agreement was to ensure that the gap is retained between Bracknell and Binfield, and for Binfield to retain its village character. The open space of the golf course is well used, and appreciated. Council tax should not be used to break the Agreement which was put in place to protect residents. Bracknell, Wokingham and Reading are being drawn into a series of urban sprawl with insufficient infrastructure.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement
90	PW Temple Park	The golf course was the reason for moving into Temple Park. Assurances were made by those who the house was bought from that covenants would protect the golf course. There is no justification to move Bracknell Town FC as there is little support on match days.	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.
91	CP Binfield	The main reason why property was bought in Binfield was due to its proximity to the golf course and the quiet village location. Residents have not been consulted on the changes, and as there are 102 years remaining it should be retained. Council tax should not be used to break a legal agreement in order to build more houses and provide the developer with considerable profit. The green spaces currently divide Binfield and Bracknell, and the development will ruin the tranquillity and views provided by the golf course.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.

			D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement
92	CR Temple Park	When moving to the area the location of the golf course was important, as it brought employment and revenue to the town. The Council are changing the covenant to suit their own needs, and have not consulted residents on their feelings. Tax payers' money should not be used on this project and should be spent on more important issues.	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement
93	JC Temple Park	It is not right that the same owner who signed the Agreement now seeks to break the Agreement build more houses. One of the main attractions when purchasing the property was the proximity to the golf course. Consideration should also be given to the various varieties of wildlife in the area, and how the golf course will impact on open space, recreation, community facilities, and ruin the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell.	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. J: Loss of wildlife and wildlife habitat
94	V&KB Temple Park	The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to Temple Park was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds
95	PS Temple Park	The decision to reside in Temple Park was largely due to the quality of the natural environment and pleasant surroundings. Do not expect this stance from	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.



		the Council, especially as it impacts on the terms of the legal title of properties.	E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.
96	R&RM Temple Park	The Council have no intention of upholding the 1990 Agreement having already made the decision to develop the Greenfield site, a decision which is at odds with its Service Plan priority to protect the environment. One of the main reasons behind purchasing a property in Temple Park was the village environment, and proximity to open space. The Council have not listened to the concerns of residents regarding the impact on local roads and health services.	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds
97	DE Temple Park	The land was designated for open recreational space which divides the development of Bracknell Town and Binfield. The area also offers wildlife a natural resource and refuge area. The Agreement states that it should be in place for 125 years, and it therefore should remain in place for the remaining period which the Council had agreed to.	D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. J: Loss of wildlife and wildlife habitat H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
98	DW Binfield	An Agreement which was made in good faith to provide a community facility for residents should not be taken away in order to satisfy a plan to build new houses. Many people will move away from Binfield as the reason for living in the area will be eliminated by this single action.	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
99	DB Binfield	One of the main reasons for purchasing property in Binfield was the proximity to the golf course and open space. The Agreement stated a period of 125 years, with 102 years remaining, which should not be changed. Council tax should not be used to break the legal agreement, and the same land owner who made the Agreement should not be allowed to break it in order to build more houses. Any	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the

		development will affect the enjoyment of the open space, views, tranquillity and one of few green gaps between Binfield and Bracknell.	Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.
100	D&SH Temple Park	Residents of Temple Park have not been consulted individually on the proposed changes to the s52 Agreement, and would not expect it to be removed or replaced by the Council. Do not support tax payers' money being used to break a legal agreement which was signed on behalf of residents. Building on the golf course would be detrimental to the personal enjoyment of the open space. In addition, road congestion, pollution, and noise would be a major factor when living in such close proximity to Blue Mountain.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient.
101	MA Binfield	Any development of the golf course is to the detriment of the views, and therefore the investment and enjoyment. A football pitch and developments will increase traffic on roads which are already barely able to cope with the existing traffic. Tax payers' money should not be used to break a legal agreement.	F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient
102	TB Binfield	The Council is determined to remove the Agreement as the site has been allocated for development. Residents were not consulted on the proposed changes and were not consulted until recently. The infrastructure to support such a development does not exist, as roads would be dangerous and congested. Council tax should also not be used to break a legal agreement, and the development of the golf course will have an adverse affect on the enjoyment of the open space, sports and recreational facilities, alongside the green gap between Binfield and Bracknell.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. F: Council money should not be spent on changing

			the Agreement
103	C&DA Temple Park	The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to Temple Park was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. There are much less productively used sites which are not covered by legal agreements which should be developed instead of Blue Mountain.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. F: Misuse of Council money. B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds K: Other sites should be developed prior to the golf course.
104	ADB Binfield	There is no reason why the use of the site for as a golf course or recreational space should change. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the Agreement, and great enjoyment is derived from the golf course by residents and others from further a field.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
105	PR Temple Park	Moved to the estate because of its small size and attractive adjoining golf course, and was assured that the land was legally protected for 125 years.	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
106	SW	Do not support the use of tax payers' money to break a legal agreement signed	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into

	Binfield	on behalf of residents by the Council, with the main reason to protect the land from development. Building on the site will have a significant adverse affect on the amenity of our immediate surroundings and enjoyment of the open space. One of the main reasons behind buying the property was the proximity to green space. Development would also destroy the green space between Bracknell and Binfield and increase traffic along Forest Road.	the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
107	GB Binfield	Nothing has changed which warrants either changing or removing the Agreement. Many people purchased properties in Temple Park with confidence of the legal agreement being in place. The development of the site will destroy the community facility, tranquillity of the area, open space, views and the green gap. The Council should be representing tax payers, and not use Council tax money, and the Council has an obligation to honour the agreement.	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
108	K&JC Binfield	One of the main reasons for buying the property was the proximity of the golf course and open space. It is not right that the same owner who made the Agreement can break it, with any development affecting the enjoyment of open space, sports, recreation/community facilities, and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell.	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.
109	FTC&PB Temple Park	The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to Temple Park was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land

		affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell.	was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement between the village and Bracknell will be lost. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
110	PAK Temple Park	In combination with other developments planned in the area challenges will be faced in terms of infrastructure, traffic and noise. The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to Temple Park was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. Wildlife will also be impacted, such as deer, badgers and birds.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement between the village and Bracknell will be lost. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient. J: Loss of wildlife and wildlife habitat
111	CW Temple Park	Wildlife will be affected, such as deer, birds foxes etc. Insufficient basic infrastructure, such as roads, and Bracknell Town already has its own club, with the stadium encouraging vandalism, hooliganism and bad language.	J: Loss of wildlife and wildlife habitat G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient.
112	K&MS Temple Park	Object to the removal of the s52 Agreement as there is already enough building in the area. The roads and hospitals are already congested, and there are becoming fewer and fewer green spaces to enjoy.	G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.

113	DJL Binfield	The Section 52 Agreement was agreed in the expectation that it would be honoured throughout the agreed period. Now only 23 years on it seems convenient to forget the original conditions. In going ahead with the development Luff and the Council are showing a lack of citizenship. Many people in Temple Park bought their houses on the understanding that the whole of the area now known as Blue Mountain golf course would not be developed for any purpose within the agreed period. Neither parties have agreed to compensation for residents.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.
114	K&MW Temple Park	When purchasing property in Temple Park one of the main selling features was the proximity to the golf course and other open space. Residents have not been consulted on the proposed changes, and may affect legal titles. Tax payers' money should not be used to break a legal agreement signed on behalf of residents. Building on the golf course will affect enjoyment of the open space, sports and recreation, community facilities, views and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.
115	RB Binfield	The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect everyone's enjoyment of the facilities and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. Wildlife will be adversely affected, and destroy habitats and green corridors. Development will also place additional strain on water supplies, electricity and roads.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement H: Loss of open space and a

			<p>recreational/community facility.  G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient.  J: Loss of wildlife and wildlife habitat</p>
116	RS Temple Park	<p>The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to Temple Park was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell.</p>	<p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds  B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.  C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap  F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement between the village and Bracknell will be lost.  H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p>
117	R&DW Binfield	<p>Originally objected to the conversion of agricultural land to the Blue Mountain Golf Course, and only removed this objection following the legal guarantee that the golf course would retain its lease for 99 years, and therefore maintain the separation between Bracknell Town and Binfield. It is a betrayal of trust if the agreement is removed.</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p>
118	GK Binfield	<p>The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to Temple Park was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. The owner of Binfield Manor, the Sultan of Brunei should be individually consulted given the proximity to Binfield Manor.</p>	<p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds  B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.  C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent.</p>

			<p>The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p> <p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p>
119	EJ&RAB Temple Park	<p>A restrictive (negative) covenant 'runs with the land' and means that the covenant continues even when the original parties to the covenant sell the land on to other people as in this plan. Attempts to make an application under Section 84 of the Law of 1925 or Article 5 of the Property (NI) Order 1978 Order Act to have the Covenant 'Discharged' or 'Amended' on the Golf Course land will fail. As residents of Temple Park, object to the modification of the covenant which is included in the Title Deeds of property.</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.</p>
120	WL Binfield	<p>Development would result in the loss of countryside, green space and recreation land which is important. The land should remain as agreed and signed for in 1990. Brownfield sites should be used for housing.</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p> <p>K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course.</p>
121	HP Temple Park	<p>The development clearly conflicts with the 1990 S52 Agreement to retain the land as a golf course or open space for 125 years. The proposed development would do nothing to meet local needs for affordable housing, which would be better met using brownfield sites adjacent to Bracknell's existing infrastructure and amenities. Development would destroy the remaining countryside between</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it</p>



		Binfield and Bracknell, and result in Binfield becoming just a part of Bracknell instead of a village. The golf course should remain as it is for the benefit of the community. Do not approve of taxpayers' money being used to break a legal agreement made on behalf of residents.	reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement.
122	BR Temple Park	The title deeds of property refer to the Section 52 Agreement between Bracknell Forest Council and Luff Developments. The major reason for buying the current property was the immediate proximity to the golf course and green space. Serious concerns that the proposed development of the golf course will severely effect the value of property, partly due to the overloading of the local infrastructure and the local roads that struggle with the current level of activity.	E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient.
123	SS Binfield	The removal of the golf course will affect the enjoyment of the open space, alongside increased traffic and disruption. The Agreement should remain in place for the duration of the Agreement, and tax payers' money should not be used to try and break the legal agreement protecting the site.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement
124	LH Temple Park	The site was protected because it is a vital green gap between the village and Bracknell and provides a much valued public and community facility for golf and social activities. The site remains important, only 23 years into the Agreement, and has been an overriding factor in the decisions of many residents to purchase homes in the area. The site is protected and should not have been included in the Council's site allocations document, and there are suitable sites for schools	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.

		elsewhere in the borough, while the site is unsuitable for the relocation of Bracknell Town Football Club.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.
125	DH Temple Park	Purchased property in Temple Park purely because of its location close to the golf course and the surrounding green land and open space. The property was also sold on the basis that a covenant was in place to prevent any building on the land for 125 years. The covenant should not be changed without consultation with the residents of Temple Park and Binfield. The proposed development will create huge problems in terms of traffic and pressures on local services, especially at peak times. Any development will affect the enjoyment of the current open space and facilities provided by the golf centre.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient. A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds
126	RN&HKJ Binfield	As a frequent user of the site, the proposal is not in keeping with the long term plan for leisure and sports facilities for the residents. One of the reasons for moving to the current property was the open space and proximity to the golf course with its plan to remain for 102 years. There are many more plots of land suitable for development of new housing, so the site should be retained to maintain the current gap between Bracknell and Binfield.	K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.
127	CP Temple Park	The Agreement still has 102 years to run and by law cannot be broken. Appalled that tax payers' money should be spent on breaking a legal agreement which was deliberately put into place to protect this land from the very thing which is now being authorised. As the property was sold with the Covenant still in place in the title deeds of the property the Council should not change it. The value of property will fall as it is no longer situated near the open space which is the	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.

		<p>buffer between Bracknell and Binfield. Deliberately moved to the edge of Bracknell to enjoy this facility. Despite the need for new schools, there must be land more suitable than Blue Mountain. Such a large overall development is going to cause huge traffic problems in the area with unnecessary suffering to local residents.</p>	<p>B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.  K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course.  G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient.  E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.  D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p>
128	MG Temple Park	<p>One of the main reasons for purchasing property in Temple Park was the proximity to the Blue Mountain Golf Course, with the property sold with the covenant in place protecting the land. The Council should not use tax payers' money to attempt to break a legal agreement to the detriment of the tax payers. The site is frequently used for recreational purposes, and the infrastructure around Binfield is already overloaded with traffic. Part of the Agreement also required an archaeological survey, with two areas of archaeological evidence were deemed to be suitable for preservation. This would be threatened in the event of further development.</p>	<p>G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient  B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.  E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.  H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.  N: Threat to archaeological deposits</p>
129	RG Binfield	<p>The Agreement still has 102 years to run so any termination must be illegal. One of the main reasons for purchasing a house in the area was the proximity to the golf course, with any development affecting the enjoyment of open space, sports and recreational facilities, views and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. Tax payers' money should not be used to break a legal agreement signed on behalf of residents.</p>	<p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement.  C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.  B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.</p>

			D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.
130	JD Temple Park	Have not been consulted on the proposed changes and it is unclear whether this will impact on the legal title to property. The land was protected for 125 years to prevent planning officers trying to develop the site. The enjoyment of the public space will be lost with any development, and tax payers' money should not be wasted on breaking this agreement. Part of the reason for buying property was the knowledge that there would be protected public space at Blue Mountain. In addition to other developments at Warfield and Wokingham this proposal is a severe blow to the area.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
131	BW Binfield	One of the main reasons for purchasing property in Binfield was the proximity of the golf course and open space. It was understood that the Agreement would be in place for another 102 years. The site is a well used recreational facility and acts as a breathing space between the local housing. Any attempt to change the Agreement is in direct contradiction to the basis on which the land was sold in the first place.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
132	LC Binfield	The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land

		<p>enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. The owner of Binfield Manor, the Sultan of Brunei should be individually consulted given the proximity to Binfield Manor.</p>	<p>was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p> <p>E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.</p> <p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p>
133	KH Temple Park	<p>Purchased property on Temple Park in 1994 purely because of its location to the golf course and the surrounding green land and open space, with a covenant put in place to prevent building on the land for 125 years. This covenant should not be changed without consultation with the residents of Binfield and Temple Park, many of whom will be affected by the proposed development. Any development would also cause huge problems in terms of traffic from the additional residents in the area. Building on the golf course will also affect the enjoyment of the current open space and facilities currently employed by the golf centre.</p>	<p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p> <p>B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.</p> <p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient.</p>
134	H&MD Binfield	<p>Tax payers' money should not be used to break an agreement signed on behalf of residents. Building on the golf course will affect enjoyment of open space, sporting and recreational facilities, views, tranquillity and the green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. Concerns about the impact on local resources, especially the roads, as a result of the development.</p>	<p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p> <p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p> <p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds.</p>

			F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement.
135	CB Binfield	The Agreement was supposed to maintain a green gap between Binfield and Bracknell and provide a community facility for residents over the following 125 years. This has become so established that it has been included in the deeds of the residents of Temple Park. If the Agreement is removed and the development goes ahead, it would destroy the open space and views that provide tranquillity for the area and the separate identity of the village. There is already excessive infilling building in the area, and it has its own thriving football club. Object to tax payers' money being used to break this agreement as the reason for its existence has not changed.	F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
136	JH Binfield	The planning permission for Temple Park was conditional on the golf course area remaining a Greenfield site with no time limit, which reflected the views of the public.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
137	AL Binfield	The Agreement should not be removed as it still has 102 years remaining. Valuable recreational land and open space will be lost, and other sites exist for the developments now proposed on the land. The extremely valuable green gap separating the two communities of Bracknell and Binfield will be lost. Local residents have continually voices their opinion against any proposals to develop the Blue Mountain site. The same owner who signed the Agreement in 1992 should now be releasing the land so soon afterwards, and it undermines trust in the planning system. Many residents of Temple Park bought their properties because of the proximity to the golf course and open space, with the covenant referred to in their Title Deeds. The proposals for the secondary school and football stadium will cause traffic disruption and congestion around the village.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient.
138	AT Temple Park	One of the main reasons for purchasing a house in Temple Park was the proximity to open space, which never considered would be developed, when the	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be

		<p>covenant was still in place. The same owner who signed the Agreement should not be breaking it in order to build more houses.</p>	<p>changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.  B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.</p>
139	C&AP Temple Park	<p>The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. The proposed development will significantly lower the house prices of properties in Temple Park.</p>	<p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds  B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.  C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.  E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.  F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement  H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.  L: The modification has only been sought for financial gain and will impact on local property prices.</p>

140	C&PS Temple Park	To build on Blue Mountain would totally change the character of Binfield village which would just become an extension of Bracknell.	D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.
141	DW Temple Park	One of the main reasons for moving to Temple Park was the open space compared to Woodley, and do not want to become like Wokingham where spaces are getting smaller.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.
142	E&SM Binfield	Do not support that taxpayers' money should be used to break a legal agreement on behalf of residents which was to protect this land from development. Building on the site will effect the enjoyment of open space, sports and recreation facilities, views, and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell.	F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
143	EG Binfield	A s52 Agreement is in place to protect Blue Mountain from development, with 102 years remaining, and Agreement was signed at the time and there is no reason why this should change. Tax payers' money should not be used to break a legal agreement to protect the land, and the same owner should not be breaking the agreement. Building on the golf course will affect your enjoyment of open space and sports/recreational facilities, and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
144	GC Temple Park	The property was purchased because of the golf course land and the covenant, which understood was in place for 102 years. The value of homes will be compromised which is unacceptable to taxpayers. The Council signed this Agreement and it should not be changed.	F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
145	JCL Temple Park	The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into



		<p>remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. Binfield does not have the infrastructure to cope with the proposed additional housing.</p>	<p>the area.  C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.  E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.  F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement  H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.  G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient.</p>
146	JU Binfield	<p>The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. Binfield does not have the infrastructure to cope with the proposed additional housing.</p>	<p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds  B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.  C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.  E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.</p>

			<p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p> <p>G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient.</p>
147	KW Binfield	The golf course provides green space and recreation for all. The Section 52 Agreement is in place, yet this clearly means nothing. One of the main reasons for buying property in Binfield was the proximity to open space around the village.	<p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p> <p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.</p>
148	MS Temple Park	The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. There are empty houses which are unoccupied on other sites, so there is no need to build further housing.	<p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds</p> <p>B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.</p> <p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p> <p>E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.</p> <p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a</p>

			recreational/community facility.
149	M&SN Temple Park	The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
150	MER Binfield	The same owner who signed the Agreement should not now be breaking it. Building on the site will effect the enjoyment of open space, sports and recreational/community facilities, alongside the green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. The development of the golf course, and the development of Cabbage Hill, will destroy the Binfield Village by increasing the population and placing a burden on community social facilities.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
151	MJA Binfield	More houses will put more strain on the local facilities and roads, and remove more Greenfield, which in this case is a popular golf course that encourages people to take up sport.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient.

152	M&MR Binfield	<p>The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. Binfield Village should remain a village, which the covenant was put in place to protect.</p>	<p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds  B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.  C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.  E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.  F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement  H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p>
153	R&DN Temple Park	<p>The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. Breaking the Agreement will set a dangerous precedent for all other covenants. Residents of Temple Park deserve their environment to be left alone. Tax payers' with voting rights trusted not to break the covenant with 102 years remaining.</p>	<p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds  B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.  C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.  E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf</p>

			<p>course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.</p> <p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p>
154	S&MF Binfield	Strong objections to the illegal development of Blue Mountain.	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p>
155	TD Binfield	<p>Why write and sign legal contracts if there is no intention of complying with them. The covenant in the title deeds of Temple Park residents should include the statement that such a covenant can cease to be binding. Not consulted or informed of the threat to continuation of the s52 Agreement. Owners of property in Temple Park must have the right to require that the terms and conditions stated in their title deeds should not be altered without their collective consent. No right to use taxpayers' money to fund the breaking of the agreement.</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement</p> <p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds.</p>
156	CF Binfield	<p>The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. Breaking the Agreement will set a dangerous precedent for all other covenants. Residents of Temple Park deserve their environment to be left alone</p>	<p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds</p> <p>B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.</p> <p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p> <p>E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park</p>

			<p>Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.</p> <p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p>
157	HRD Binfield	<p>Concede that with today's expanding population there is a need for places for people to live and bring up their families. Main concern is road traffic, which will be unbearable, and increased as a result of the development. Forest Road has evolved from an un-edged country lane into a major road used by heavy lorries. Should consider building a bypass with a road from west of Binfield North of the village to an area around Jealots Hill.</p>	<p>G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient</p>
158	MW Binfield	<p>Such a request to modify the S52 should be denied on principle, as the period of agreement has not expired, and because the amendments would entail such a change of land use. The developer was allowed to build Temple Park under the proviso that Blue Mountain would not be developed for further housing, and there appears to be no reason why this agreement should not continue in full force. Many homeowners in Temple Park and on the surrounding periphery along the Forest Road etc will have purchased property with the understanding that the land would remain a space of a recreational nature.</p>	<p>B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.</p> <p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p>
159	SN Binfield	<p>The development is wholly out of character for the historic village and totally insensitive. The development is a breach of trust between elected officials and the electorate. The covenant is in place to retain the green land as a strategic gap. Owners of ex-Council houses have to apply for permission to make changes to the home due to a covenant, should this now be ignored? The land is valued and used by residents, business and locals, and provides employment, a</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p>

		communal meeting space and is one of few golf courses where all members of society are welcome.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. M: Adverse impact on employment and business opportunities
160	SR Temple Park	Moved to Temple Park to escape the overcrowding of London with too many developments and too many people. The highlight of the day is driving past the golf course, so leave the village and the countryside alone.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
161	AT&TC Temple Park	The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. Breaking the Agreement will set a dangerous precedent for all other covenants. Residents of Temple Park deserve their environment to be left alone. Building on the site will make traffic congestion even worse, and reduce the amount of leisure areas. Properties will be devalued as a result of the development.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. L: The modification has only been sought for financial gain and will impact on local property prices. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient
162	BG	The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main	A: The consultation process including lack of

	Temple Park	<p>reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. Breaking the Agreement will set a dangerous precedent for all other covenants. Residents of Temple Park deserve their environment to be left alone. Building on the site will blight the landscape, ruin views of the countryside and devastate wildlife inhabitants, birds etc.</p>	<p>consultation on changes to Property Deeds  B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.  C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.  E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.  F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement  H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.  L: The modification has only been sought for financial gain and will impact on local property prices.  G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient  J: Loss of wildlife and wildlife habitat.</p>
163	CJ Temple Park	<p>The Agreement is a legally binding document signed by the Officers of that time. The Council should not encourage Luff to apply for the removal of the Agreement, and the Council should not put legal agreements in place only for the next generation of Councillors to rescind them. Homeowners in Temple Park purchased their properties in the knowledge that the covenant was in place, these residents are being misled if the covenant is removed.</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.</p>



164	CllrH Binfield	<p>'The removal of the 1990 Planning Agreement to retain the part of Park Farm that was not developed for housing as open space would expose Bracknell Forest Council as a body which could not be trusted to keep its word. When Bracknell Forest Council is trying to put in place development agreements for the Bracknell Town Centre, a reputation for dishonesty would be extremely damaging and harm commercial relationships.</p> <p>This would be the result of the removal of the protection given to the Blue Mountain site. The Borough Solicitor Mr Alex Jack gave assurances to the local community in a letter to the Parish Council which will shortly become public and expose the lies which were told at the time if the Executive decides to break the covenant it made for the protection of residents at the time. I have no control over this.</p> <p>Further, to agree the release of this covenant with no additional guarantees would be negligent. It would constitute a free gift worth hundreds of thousands of pounds of taxpayers' money to the landowner and would be a seriously irresponsible move that fails to pay the interests of taxpayers and residents due heed and also risks the delivery of the Council's Local Plan.'</p>	
165	D&GP	<p>The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell</p>	<p>B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.  C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.  H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p>
166	JH Temple Park	<p>The Agreement states 125 years and it is not yet 2115. The Title Deeds of property refer to the Agreement. The Agreement was a major reason for buying</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be</p>

		property in Temple Park and cannot understand how it can be changed. Do not understand how the same owner can now break the Agreement to build more houses, and is not a proper way to spend tax payers' money. Local opposition to the scheme should not be ignored.	changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement
167	MF Binfield	The Agreement was put in place to provide a community facility and an area of open space, and preserve the green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. Binfield has already been the target for substantial developments, but the development of Blue Mountain is a step too far. Any development will increase traffic flow through Binfield, on roads which are unsuitable.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient
168	M&RB Binfield	The Agreement still has over 100 years to run, and the predecessor councils signed the Agreement and should not now renege on the Agreement. Residents around the golf course bought their properties in the knowledge of the golf course was protected by the Agreement. If the Council was to remove the s52 agreement, it would be a breach of the community's trust. The enjoyment of space and the leisure facility would be severely impacted.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.
169	BE Binfield	Residents of Temple Park purchased their properties on the understanding that the site would be retained. The Council have no right to change the agreement with the permission of residents. Luff have no right to change the agreement for financial gain. Local constituents should be listened to in order to stop the unnecessary development of the golf course.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park

			<p>Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.</p> <p>L: The modification has only been sought for financial gain and will impact on local property prices.</p>
170	JR&KM Temple Park	<p>The Agreement is stated in the Title Deeds of property, and object to its removal. Removal of the covenant would destroy the rural environment around Binfield and alters the Title Deeds of property. One of the reasons for purchasing property was the proximity to open space, as it is a significant factor in the desirability and value of property. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while the enjoyment of green space will be affected, alongside the green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. Bracknell FC should remain on a site in Bracknell.</p>	<p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p> <p>E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.</p> <p>L: The modification has only been sought for financial gain and will impact on local property prices.</p> <p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.</p> <p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement.</p> <p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p>
171	NB Binfield	<p>Houses bought in Temple Park were bought on the understanding that the area was protected for 125, and was instrumental in making a decision to buy there. Unpalatable to reverse the covenant now, with every Council decision made then reversed at a whim. Binfield village will be built over and absorbed into Bracknell. The Council is supposed to represent the voice of the electorate.</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p>

			<p>B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.</p> <p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p>
172	R&PB Temple Park	<p>The Agreement was designed to keep an area of open space and preserve the green gap between Binfield and Bracknell, which was a key factor when purchasing a house. It is completely unlawful to back track on a legal Agreement which impacts on both the quality of life and property values of so many residents. Council tax should not be used to change the agreement.</p> <p>Development of the golf course would have a significant detrimental impact on quality of life and enjoyment of the golf course, and on the sense of community in the area.</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p> <p>L: The modification has only been sought for financial gain and will impact on local property prices.</p> <p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement.</p> <p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p> <p>B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.</p>
173	SB Temple Park	<p>The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. Breaking the Agreement will set a dangerous precedent for all other covenants. The diverse wildlife on the site will be badly affected, while extra strain will be placed on water supplied and electricity etc. The increased traffic will also be intolerable.</p>	<p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds</p> <p>B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.</p> <p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p> <p>E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in</p>

			<p>the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.</p> <p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p> <p>L: The modification has only been sought for financial gain and will impact on local property prices.</p> <p>G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient.</p> <p>J: Loss of wildlife and wildlife habitat</p>
174	SB Binfield	<p>The Council has not provided any reasons why the golf course land should be removed from the s52 Agreement. The golf course is a valuable local amenity and should not be closed down to meet the spurious demand for housing. The fact that Schedule 10 Part 2 has been fulfilled and a golf course is in existence is no justification for removing this valuable amenity.</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p>
175	T&SF Binfield	<p>The golf course was a primary factor in deciding to relocate to the village. The covenant forms a part of the title deeds of many neighbouring properties. There is no reason why the Council should permit the agreement to be varied from its clear and unambiguous wording. Object to taxpayers' money being spent on this inappropriate matter, breaking a legal agreement of behalf of residents. The loss of the 'green gap' between Binfield and Bracknell would be disastrous.</p>	<p>B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.</p> <p>E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.</p> <p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement</p> <p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p>
176	AWF Binfield	<p>Did not object to the development of the original site as a golf course due to assurances, including from the local MP, that the golf course would be a permanent feature and would not be made available for any other type of development. The golf course should remain as it is for all the people of the local</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent.</p>

		area.	The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
177	C&JW Temple Park	One of the main reasons for buying property in the area was the surrounding countryside area including the golf course and open space. Feel that have not been consulted on the proposed changes which may affect the title deeds of property, and that there are 102 years remaining on the Agreement. Do not support the use of tax payers' money on breaking the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the open space, sports and recreational facilities, alongside the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell.	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility
178	CAL Temple Park	The proposed modification will affect the covenant of the title deeds of property in Temple Park, and the Council have deemed it not necessary to canvass the views of residents on the subversive intentions to remove the agreement. The development of the site will affect the enjoyment of the open space, recreational facilities, tranquillity and the beneficial green space break which exists between Binfield and Bracknell. Any changes to the agreement without consent will effect the value of property.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.

			<p>E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.  H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility</p>
179	CB Binfield	<p>The community interest in the land continues and cannot be fulfilled. The local area cannot afford to lose this amenity and green gap which is extremely well used. Asks who will benefit from the proposed development, when there are other areas which could be used for development which are less well used and strategically positioned between settlements. Many people bought their houses on the basis of the existence of the golf course and open space. It will be a breach of trust for the Council to agree to this.</p>	<p>B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.  C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.  E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.  H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.  K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course.</p>
180	GS Binfield	<p>As a member of the golf course any development would affect the enjoyment of open space, sports, and recreation/community facilities, and the green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. Tax payers' money should not be spent on breaking the Agreement, and there remain 102 years on the original Agreement. Other locations should be investigated for this development.</p>	<p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement.  H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.  D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.  C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the</p>

			Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
181	KOP Binfield	The Agreement should not be allowed to be broken simply because someone wants to. It is morally unscrupulous behaviour for the owner who signed the Agreement to want to break it. Property was bought in Binfield because of the open space and separation from Bracknell Town. Building the number of homes and schools cannot be maintained by current facilities in and around Binfield, especially the road network.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient
182	L&PJ Temple Park	Chose to live in the area because of the semi-rural location and the open green space of the adjoining golf course and surrounding areas. The proposed development will ruin the feeling of openness currently enjoyed. The area is often used for cycling and jogging. The development will also result in a significantly increased amount of traffic especially parents doing the 'school run.' Strongly object to the removal of a covenant which is stated in the deeds of property, and have not been consulted on the proposed changes which will significantly reduce the value of homes.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.
183	LL Temple Park	The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. Breaking the Agreement will set a dangerous precedent for all other covenants.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.



			<p>E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.</p> <p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p>
184	PT Binfield	The removal of the golf course would ruin the atmosphere and peace of the whole area, with very few residents of Binfield in favour of this. The road infrastructure is insufficient cannot take the amount of building proposed at Blue Mountain and Coppid Beach. Local and national government should listen to the people who elected them.	<p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p> <p>G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient</p>
185	P&SB Temple Park	When buying a property the facilities and surrounding area were taken into consideration, only now to find the agreements may be worthless. Angry that the Council can sign an agreement in 1990 for 125 years, thereby giving residents a false sense of local amenities available.	<p>B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.</p> <p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p>
186	SH Temple Park	The Agreement was put in place to provide assurances that the green space and separation between Bracknell and Binfield was maintained for a period of 125 years as detailed in the title deeds of my property. Moved into the area because of the local facilities. The Council has disregarded the views of local residents, which will have an impact on the credibility of the Council in the future.	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p>
187	SN Binfield	One of the main reasons for purchasing property in Binfield was the proximity to the golf course. Residents have not been consulted on the proposed changes, with the Section 52 Agreement confirmed when purchasing property. The Agreement has 102 years to run and it should be honoured. A legal commitment has been made and should be honoured. Greenbelt development and the	<p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds</p> <p>B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.</p> <p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land</p>

		removal of open spaces should not be considered, instead as part of the town's regeneration urban residential development should be encouraged. The development appears poorly considered and fails to reflect the needs and interests of the residents of Binfield.	was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course
188	S&KS Binfield	Regular users of Blue Mountain golf course, with any development affecting the enjoyment of the open space, views, recreation/community facilities, views, tranquillity, and the green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. Tax payers' money should not be used to break a legal agreement signed on behalf of residents to protect the land from development. The Agreement is in place to protect the land for 125 years. One of the reasons for buying property was its proximity to the golf course and open space. There are more suitable areas for development rather than using existing leisure facilities that are part of the local community.	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility
189	SP Binfield	What sort of provision has the Council made to pay for the legal costs for an Upper Tribunal decision, and how will the Council recompense the Title Deed Owners affected. If the Section 52 is lifted, what guarantees are there that the land will be used as specified in the SALP. If the Agreement is not lifted, does this open the Borough to decisions made in favour of development at Appeal because of insufficient land supply? Due to the shortage of secondary school places resulting from other local development, what other provision has the Council made to meet this shortfall, and how will this change with the proposed schools at Blue Mountain. Concerned that against the wishes of local residents	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds O: If released no guarantee that the land will be used for the purposes proposed in SALP
190	VP Temple Park	The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into

		<p>remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the recreational/community facilities, vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell</p>	<p>the area.  C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.  E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.  F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement  H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility</p>
191	BPC Binfield	<p>Strongly object to any changes in the s52 Agreement and the removal of related covenants. The first application for development on the land, called Park Farm at the time, was refused and the appeal was dismissed by the Inspector. He understood that if some of the land was developed then it would creep across and the green gap between settlements would be lost. Maintaining the gap was his most pressing reason to refuse permission.</p> <p>The Temple Park development was only allowed because in exchange for permission to build, the land was to be made into a golf course which was to be protected for 125 years. The Parish Council and local groups were reassured by the legal agreement that this was so. The houses subsequently built on Temple Park have a direct link to the covenant in the deeds of their houses, and expect that the golf course land will remain protected for the whole length of the agreement. The Bracknell Forest Councillors currently serving the Borough Council should surely honour this agreement and not remove the Covenant.</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.  E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.</p>
297	FM Binfield	<p>Strong objection to the proposal to modify the Agreement, which will end the longstanding Agreement to preserve the green space and the countryside.</p>	<p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p>

298	D&RW Binfield	When the farm land was bought there were assurances that the golf course would remain a 'green wedge' between Binfield and Bracknell, enabling Binfield to retain its village quality of life. The Council has ridden roughshod over promises made in the past, and the maverick council should not remove the right to live in a setting of individual choice.	D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.
299	GJER Binfield	Cannot see how a legal agreement that was supposed to last 125 years can be broken. Words count for nothing if this goes ahead, as it is not democracy, just the Council forcing it through. The golf course is a valuable and much loved community facility enjoyed by many. Surely homes can be put somewhere else and not lose this much enjoyed recreation.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course.
300	ARD Binfield	Having signed the Agreement the Council should honour the agreement for the existing sports facility.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
302	DA Binfield	Building on the golf course will affect the enjoyment of the open space, sports/recreational facilities, views, and the green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. Taxpayers' money should not be used on breaking a legal agreement, and the agreement should remain intact for its duration.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement.
303	JR Temple Park	One of the main reasons for purchasing a property on Temple Park was because it was so close to the nice open space of the golf course and the fact that this would not change. Money given to the Council as taxes should not be used to	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. F: Council money should not be spent on changing

		modify the Agreement, and the value of property will go down as a result.	the Agreement L: The modification has only been sought for financial gain and will impact on local property prices.
304	ES&CC Temple Park	Understood that the Agreement was in place to protect the golf course for the duration of the Agreement, which both the Council and the present owner signed.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
305	IS Binfield	Would much prefer to see 'Greenbelt' land between Binfield and Bracknell. The golf course enhances Binfield's recreational amenities, and surely if the course was created with a long term covenant then it should be maintained.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.
307	CB Binfield	The Agreement was set up to maintain a green gap between Binfield and Bracknell, and was included in the deeds of properties in Temple Park. If the area was developed it would destroy the open space and views that provide the tranquillity of the area and the separate identity of the village. To remove the Agreement for commercial reasons is in opposition to its intended purpose. Object to Council tax payers' money being used to break this agreement as the reasons for its existence have not changed.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement L: The modification has only been sought for financial gain and will impact on local property prices.

			E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.
308	SW Binfield	To renege on the Agreement would be a breach of trust with the Agreement not worth the paper it is written on. Fail to understand why office buildings remain empty for years on land that could be used for affordable housing. As a resident of Binfield notice of consultation has been received, on proposals which are likely to affect quality of life and property values.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course. A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds.
310	AL Binfield	Strongly object to the removal of the Agreement when there is such a long period remaining and there is no valid reason why these commitments should now be overturned. Other sites exist for development which is now proposed on the land. The land currently serves as a valuable gap separating the two very different communities. The Council has a moral obligation to adhere to the principles laid down. Strongly object to taxpayers' money being used to break a legal agreement signed on behalf of residents. Many residents in Temple Park bought their properties because of the proximity to the golf course, and have not been consulted on the proposed changes. The proposed schools and football ground will cause additional traffic congestion.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course. A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes. B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into

			the area.
311	P&RL Binfield	Beyond belief that a legal agreement can be removed, and cannot understand how an area of such beauty can be removed.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.

192	DC Binfield	The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the recreational/community facilities, vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges <sup>1</sup> on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility
193	BE Temple Park	This will congest Binfield further. The golf course is a fantastic place for wildlife and people to enjoy, and was always made to believe that the golf course was green belt. This was a reason behind purchasing a property in Temple Park.	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.

			G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient J: Loss of wildlife and wildlife habitat
194	H Temple Park	The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the recreational/community facilities, vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility
195	JW Temple Park	Bought property knowing that the golf course to be kept safe over 100 years or more, so strongly object to any proposed changes which are an illegal act. Extra schools, houses and a football club will change the area beyond recognition. Chose to live in a rural area because it was peaceful.	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other



			sporting/open space purposes.
196	JH Binfield	When the golf course was originally opened promised that it would remain a green wedge between Bracknell and Binfield, which was agreed by the Council to mitigate the housing development at Temple Park. The Agreement is in place to protect Blue Mountain, with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason why this should change. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the Agreement signed on behalf of residents, and it is not right that the same owner who signed the Agreement now wishes to break it and build more houses. Building on the site will also affect the enjoyment of open space, sports and recreational facilities.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility
197	MM Temple Park	One of the reasons for buying property in the area was the proximity to the golf course and open space, and the property was sold with the covenant in place and it should not be changed or removed. An Agreement is in place, with 102 years remaining on it. It is therefore wrong that taxpayers' money should be used to break the legal agreement. Building on the golf course will affect quality of life, and there will be less green open space and recreation/community facilities.	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility
198	MS Temple Park	The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the recreational/community facilities, vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. It must be illegal to modify the Agreement as it is also mentioned in the Deeds of Property. Questions how a football stadium could be build in the middle of a village that is not part of Bracknell.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.

			<p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p> <p>E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.</p> <p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility</p>
199	PP Binfield	Do not support the use of taxpayers' money to break a legal agreement signed on behalf of residents. Building will remove the golf course and will affect the enjoyment of the open space, sports and community facilities, plus the green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. Binfield village would no longer exist, and one of the reasons for purchasing the house was the 'village' community, with prices at a premium because of this.	<p>B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.</p> <p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p> <p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p>
200	PW Temple Park	The development of the golf course would be a fundamentally flawed act, rendering the deeds to all properties in Temple Park null and void. If this change of covenant was to succeed the result would be large financial costs associated with the change of Deeds and reduce property values. This would be an inappropriate and wasteful use of public money. Will be asking the Council to pay any legal costs associated with the engagement with a legal representative.	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.</p> <p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement</p>
201	TW Binfield	The open spaces of Blue Mountain influenced the decision to live in Temple Park. The Agreement stated the land should be kept as a golf course for 125 years and this should be adhered to. It is unacceptable that a covenant legally	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the</p>

		made should be released and local opinion dismissed.	Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
202	RC Binfield	The things which brought people to Binfield are being eroded away by all the planned development. The golf course is a focal point with its facilities and open space, and is well used for weekly dance classes. The legal document is there to protect the land for our children for the next 125 years. The roads around Binfield will be unable to cope with any additional development and the proposed development in Binfield is disproportionate. The income from the new development is only a sticking plaster over any regeneration. More should be done to control population, family size, and border control to prevent building.	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient
322	RJ Binfield		Curious and sought a fuller understanding of proposed changes

## Non Binfield

Ref.	From	Response details and points made	Objection Categories (A-O)
203	CD Crowthorne	The covenant should be upheld, and it is too easy to remove The golf course provides recreational facilities which encourage a healthy lifestyle.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
204	PLS Crowthorne	The Agreement should be honoured as Luff only want to make additional profits.	L: The modification has only be sought for financial gain and will impact on local property prices.
205	KP Wokingham	The Agreement was entered into willingly and should be adhered to	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
206	FC Reading	The golf course is an excellent facility which is well used, with other local clubs unsuitable. The legal agreement should be enforced for the whole period. The Council as custodians with the responsibility to enforce the Agreement made in 1990 have a moral responsibility to ensure that the promise made is not reneged upon against the will of the people.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
207	JM Sandhurst	Council tax payers' are concerned about the morality of the Council trying to amend the Agreement. The golf course forms an important part of the town and is popular with local people.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.

208	SLH Priestwood	Green spaces between Bracknell and Binfield should not be filled in, and tax payers' money should not be used to break the agreement. Self interest and financial should not take priority when considering the long term future of the area.	D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement
209	PH Sandhurst	The golf course is a valuable community asset with well used conference and special events facilities. Other local golf courses are insufficient.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
210	TR Sandhurst	The golf course is a valuable community asset with well used conference and special events facilities. Other local golf courses are insufficient. Concerned that the Agreement can be torn up for profit and at the convenience of the Council.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. L: The modification has only be sought for financial gain and will impact on local property prices.
211	DH Wokingham	The reason for implementing the agreement is as applicable today as it was then. The financial benefits are too great to individuals and companies to push through the modification.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
212	VT Wokingham	Appalled that tax payers' money is being used to break the legal agreement. There is a lack of understanding that communities need open spaces and recreational facilities. The green spaces between Bracknell, Binfield, Warfield and Wokingham are been eroded at an alarming rate.	F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility
213	DJS Wokingham	The Council have a duty to act as the County Council would have done and consult residents across Berkshire. Promises were made to the new buyers of the neighbouring houses that there was a long standing agreement that would protect their amenities and their investment.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds
214	AML Reading	The same owner who signed the agreement now wishes to break it, while tax payers' money should not be used to beak this legal agreement. The golf course is not only enjoyed by the local community, but local school children also receive coaching. The site also provides valuable green space between Binfield and Bracknell, and is home to less common wildlife such as newts and adders.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a

			recreational/community facility. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. J: Loss of wildlife and wildlife habitat.
215	PN Bracknell	The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
216	PJD Bracknell	The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
217	SB Bracknell	The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap

			<p>between the village and Bracknell will be lost.  F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement  H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p>
218	EF Warfield	<p>The selection of sites so far has not been a democratic process, with new housing in the area being driven by the will of developers, with no regard for local residents. The Council seems intent on destroying anything which makes living in the Binfield/Warfield area worthwhile. The current plans for housing are so invasive planning to move out of the area.</p>	<p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds  K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course.  L: The modification has only been sought for financial gain and will impact on local property prices.</p>
219	AGB Bracknell	<p>The Agreement did not have an end date, and was signed on behalf of local residents. Purchases of houses in Temple Way were influenced by the existence of the Agreement which protected the site from development. The Agreement is only being modified for financial gain, showing a lack of integrity.</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes</p>
220	DWB Crowthorne	<p>Object to annul the covenant made in 1990 on the use of the land now known as the Blue Mountain Golf Centre. To break a promise made in the form of a covenant shows how morally corrupt elected councillors have become.</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p>
309	WHW Wokingham	<p>The interests of taxpayers, residents and the local community should be reflected, and not those of the landowner/developer. There is not sufficient reason to remove the Agreement. Why sign the Agreement if there is no intention of sticking to it?</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent.</p>

			The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
--	--	--	---

## No Address

---

Ref.	From	Response details and points made	Objection Categories (A-O)
221	G&AM	<p>It was a condition of the development of Temple Park that the Section 52 Agreement was put in place and enforced by the Council, with the title deeds making reference to it.</p> <p>If a legal challenge is launched council tax money should not be used to fight the challenge. Other land which is not protected by legal agreements could be used in place of Blue Mountain</p>	<p>E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.</p> <p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement</p>
222	GM	<p>The signatories of the Agreement should not modify it without the agreement of the beneficiaries, who are Temple Park residents. The title deeds of residents also make reference to the s52 Agreement</p> <p>If a legal challenge is made it would be a misappropriation of tax payers' money to fight the challenge</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement</p> <p>E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.</p>
223	DS	<p>The 1990 Agreement makes no reference to a time limit. Residents will lose access to open space</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p>



			H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
224	MW	Conditions should not be established if they can be revoked at any time	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
225	SW	There are alternative sites which should be developed prior to the golf course.	K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course
226	GS	The local area is already overwhelmed by development such as Jennett's Park and the Parks and the impact it has on infrastructure. Quiet, pleasant open areas of land are being lost.	D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
227	DN	The Agreement was for 125 years, and if the development goes ahead an important wildlife/recreational facility will be lost. Traffic congestion is already an issue during peak times. Office buildings should be converted prior to open spaces.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. J: Loss of wildlife and wildlife habitat H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course
228	JM	Bracknell has been progressively built up over a number of years, and now the plan is to rob the area of its surrounding countryside.	D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
229	BK	When purchasing a property searches are undertaken, and the 1990 Agreement will have provided reassurance. Now such an Agreement appears worthless. 23 years is too short a time to change it. The golf course is a well used facility and is less expensive than many	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent.

		neighbouring courses some of which are oversubscribed.	The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
230	PY	Building on the golf course will make Binfield a suburb of Bracknell and remove Binfield's village status. This threatens to lead to the style of urban sprawl commonly witnessed in the USA. Brownfield sites should be considered before destroying the last few remaining green spaces.	D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course
231	VL	The request to modify the Agreement is unethical, and should be protected green space for the use of golfers and local residents	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
232	WD	The golf course is well used, and provides a venue for family and wedding events. The development would bring more traffic and pollution to area, and Binfield would become overpopulated and lose its attraction.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient
233	AB	The online portal for consultations is inadequate and forces people to re-register.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds
234	D&MB	There is no reason why the Agreement should be changed, as there are 102 years remaining. Building on the golf course would affect the enjoyment of the open space, and the green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. The space is also important for wildlife, who also have a right to a home.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
235	JR	The Agreement was put in place to protect the golf course, and there is no reason why it should be changed. Green space should be protected because of the development in the area. Tax payers' money should not be wasted on attempting to break this legal covenant, when empty office space could be converted into flats. The green space of the golf course helps to define the atmosphere of Binfield village, and is a haven for wildlife.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course

			J: Loss of wildlife and wildlife habitat
236	RKH	The Agreement was put in place to protect the gap between Bracknell and Binfield and the village nature of the community. Council tax money should not be used to break this legally binding agreement, particularly when other brownfield sites could be used for housing.	F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course
237	PM	The golf course should remain as such for the remaining 102 years. Council tax money should not be used to modify the Agreement, and traffic in the area will suffer as a result.	F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
238	MM	It is not appropriate for the Agreement to be broken when 102 years remain, especially as valuable green open space will be lost.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
239	RM	One of the primary reasons for purchasing a property in Binfield was the surrounding green area. The Agreement is legally binding between the Council and Luff Developments and the modification should not go unchallenged.	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.
240	SC	The main attraction to the property was the views of the open space, and the recreational facilities it provides. An important legal agreement needed to protect the land for 125 years should not be overturned to provide the kind of development the covenant was set up to prevent. The development of the golf course will diminish the already eroded gap between Binfield and Bracknell, leading to an erosion of Binfield as a separate entity.	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap

			between the village and Bracknell will be lost. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
241	PS	The Agreement was signed on behalf of residents and should not be changed. Money gained from Council tax should not be used to break a legal agreement, as it was signed on behalf of residents. Building on the golf course would significantly affect the enjoyment of open space, sports, recreation/community facilities, tranquillity and the last remaining gap between Binfield and Bracknell.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement
242	CP	The Council entered into the Agreement for all the local residents, it is inappropriate to then renege on such as Agreement. The proposal contradicts Local Plan policy EN8: Development on land outside settlements, and policy H5 new dwellings outside settlements. Blue Mountain provides an important leisure facility for all the community, and an increase in population would make the facility even more important. A second football club is not needed in the village, and together with the additional schools, would bring additional traffic to the area.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. I: Planning policy does not support the development of the golf course land
243	J&SD	Part of the reason for residing in Temple Park was the close proximity of the golf course just across the road. The Agreement is important as it keeps some open space between Bracknell and the village of Binfield. It is not acceptable to use Council tax money to break the covenant which is just 23 years old.	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap

			between the village and Bracknell will be lost. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement
244	VM	Removing the covenant will destroy the rural environment around Binfield and alter the deeds of many properties. No one has consulted on the changes which could affect the legal titles of properties. The Borough and County Council signed the Agreement on behalf of residents and there is no reason for it to change. Any development will affect the views and tranquillity of the area in order to build houses in an already overpopulated area.	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purpose H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
245	AJABS	The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to Temple Park was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement between the village and Bracknell will be lost. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
246	NP	In combination with other developments planned in the area challenges will be	A: The consultation process including lack of

		<p>faced in terms of infrastructure and traffic. The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to Temple Park was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell.</p>	<p>consultation on changes to Property Deeds  B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.  C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap  F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement between the village and Bracknell will be lost.  H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.  G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient.</p>
247	PA	<p>The party who signed the Agreement should not seek to break the Agreement without consultation.</p>	<p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds</p>
248	RSM	<p>Surprised that after only 23 years of the covenant the Council have decided that Binfield and the amenity of the area in general is no longer worth preserving. One reason for purchasing the property was its semi-rural aspect and the protection of the immediate vicinity by the s52 Agreement. Strongly object to the re-zoning of the golf course and the alteration of the s52 Agreement as it is referred to in the title deeds of the property.</p>	<p>B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.  C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.</p>
249	MR	<p>Part of the attraction of living in Temple Park is the open space provided by the golf course, which was reinforced by the knowledge that the site would be protected from development for 125 years from 1990. Other land specifically designated for other purposes can be used for housing.</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent.</p>

			The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.
250	KP	The Agreement was made to protect Blue Mountain from development, and the developer should not be allowed to break the Agreement to protect the land in order to build more houses.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
251	SD	Cannot understand how the Council can make an agreement and then change it when it suits them. Does not support tax payers' money being used to break a legal agreement which was made to protect local residents and green space.	F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
252	ML	The Agreement should remain in place for its duration, with 102 years remaining. Do not support tax payers' money being used to break the legal agreement.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement
253	PC	The removal of the agreement protecting the site would be a betrayal of trust. The area could not withstand the additional traffic, while empty office blocks could be converted into housing.	G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient. K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course
254	NI	Any development will break the s52 Agreement, against the will of the local community. Relies on the business of Blue Mountain for his company	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.

			M: Adverse impact on employment and business opportunities.
255	MT	The covenant should not be ignored, especially as it is now more vital than ever to maintain such land use for recreation as Wokingham/Bracknell is swamped with more houses, with roads unable to cope. The longer term impacts of developing Blue Mountain should be considered, including adverse impacts on noise and air pollution.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient.
256	DJC	The area could not withstand the extra traffic etc. The numerous extra office blocks which are still empty should be converted for housing.	G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient. K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course.
257	TR	Like many residents of Temple Park, moved to the estate because of its small size and attractive adjoining golf course, with the developers providing documentation that it was protected for 125 years. The property was sold with the covenant in place and it should not be changed or removed.	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement.
258	I&DM	If the proposed development goes ahead the enjoyment of dog walking will be affected, and there will be a substantial increase in traffic in the area. Tax payers' money should not be used to support the costs of removing the legal agreement. Understood that the agreement would ensure the golf course remained for the next 100 years.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient. F: Council money should not be spent on changing



			the Agreement.
259	EB	The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to Temple Park was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. The owner of Binfield Manor, the Sultan of Brunei should be individually consulted given the proximity to Binfield Manor.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
260	KF	If the proposed development goes ahead Binfield will disappear as a village and become part of Bracknell.	D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.
261	SB	The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to Temple Park was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. The owner of Binfield Manor, the Sultan of Brunei should be individually consulted given the proximity to Binfield Manor.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other

			<p>sporting/open space purposes.  F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement  H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p>
262	GP	<p>The development will deprive Binfield of its much loved green space, and traffic will be increased. There were Agreements to protect the flora and fauna and provide wildlife habitats. Building on green spaces has proven to result in drier conditions in summer and waterlogging in the winter.</p>	<p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.  J: Loss of wildlife and wildlife habitat.</p>
263	IMS	<p>While the need for new housing is comprehensible, the development of Blue Mountain is too far. The proposals for several extensions to the village of Binfield will change its nature irrevocably. The site is a well used public facility that has been enjoyed for two decades, while removing the covenant would make the original Agreement worthless.</p>	<p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p>
264	NE	<p>The size of the proposed development is inappropriate for Bracknell and will cause additional traffic congestion. It will also remove green space which is enjoyed by people in Binfield. Do not support tax payers' money being used to break a legal agreement which was made to protect the area.</p>	<p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.  F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement.  H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p>
265	CPRE	<p>The s52 Agreement gives security for the gap between Binfield and Bracknell. The gaps between settlements should be maintained as they enable settlements to retain their own identity rather than be part of urban sprawl.</p>	<p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p>
266	JH	<p>The reason behind purchasing property in Temple Park was the location of open spaces and the golf course. The property was also sold with the covenant in place and residents have not been consulted on the proposed change. A community with open space, sport and community facilities and maintaining a green gap between Binfield and Bracknell is important.</p>	<p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.  H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.  A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds  B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.</p>
267	JS	<p>Nothing has changed to justify breaking the Agreement after only 23 years. The environmental impact of the development has been completely disregarded, without considering the impact on the title of property.</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the</p>

			<p>Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds.</p>
268	G&JG	<p>The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Building on the golf course will affect the enjoyment of the recreational space, and the green gap between Binfield and Bracknell.</p>	<p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds</p> <p>B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.</p> <p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p>
269	JC	<p>Moved to Binfield because of the green space and the golf course which was protected by the legal covenant. Other sites can be used for development which do not have a legal covenant protecting them.</p>	<p>B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.</p> <p>K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course.</p>
270	-	<p>The roads will not be able to cope, and no hospitals are being built. Empty office blocks should be used for housing, and single parent families get all the houses.</p>	<p>G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient.</p> <p>K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course</p>
271	PB	<p>One of the main reasons for living in Binfield is that it is a village separated by open spaces from the large towns of Bracknell and Wokingham. The proposed development of the golf course will make Binfield into an urban suburb which is unacceptable and a betrayal of the s52 Agreement.</p>	<p>B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.</p> <p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p> <p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement</p>
272	PE	<p>The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main</p>	<p>A: The consultation process including lack of</p>

		<p>reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. Concerned about traffic levels on Temple Way. Did not expect to lose a facility which is already in place. One of the best parts of Bracknell are the open spaces, and concerned about wildlife.</p>	<p>consultation on changes to Property Deeds  B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.  C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.  E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.  F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement  H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.  J: Loss of wildlife and wildlife habitat.</p>
273	PH&JM	<p>The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. To break the s52 Agreement is a moral abandonment of the trust placed by residents in the borough council. Further building will suffocate the village.</p>	<p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds  B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.  C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.  E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf</p>

			<p>course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.</p> <p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p>
274	RK&RM	<p>Came to live in Binfield because it is a village with open space which separated it from Bracknell. Building on the golf course will impact negatively on the beauty and tranquillity of the village. Tax payers' money should not be used to break a legal agreement to protect this land from development.</p>	<p>B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.</p> <p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p> <p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p>
275	CL	<p>The Borough and County Councils at the time signed the Agreement and there is no reason why this should change, and tax payers' money should not be used to break such an Agreement, especially as it is the same owner who signed the Agreement.</p>	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement.</p>
276	GP BM Golf Club Members	<p>The golf club offers great recreational enjoyment not only for golf club members but local members of the community. Many local residents would have to join more expensive other courses. Younger people also learn how to play golf via the Academy. Astounded to find that the Council and the landowner are willing to tear up the Agreement that was part of the structure of the initial housing estate.</p>	<p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p>
277	CS	<p>The area of open space was one of the reasons for choosing to buy property on Temple Park, with the understanding that the Agreement would protect the open space. Building on the land will not only take away a local facility but will remove the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell, which should be retained for to protect the village rather than create one large urban sprawl.</p>	<p>B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p> <p>E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or</p>

			other sporting/open space purposes.
278	PF	What is the point in agreeing to the covenant if it can be altered later in the future. It is unethical to agree to something only to change it when it suits. Blue Mountain is well run, and any development will destroy a leisure and social hub, plus all the green space. There must be other places which are more suitable to build on. If the request is granted it illustrates that there is no regard for the residents.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course
279	TF	Object to the threat to Binfield's identity and community. Local green spaces are important as natural breaks. The Council should observe the s52 Agreement to protect Blue Mountain from development, as promises should be kept.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost
280	YC	The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. Breaking the Agreement will set a dangerous precedent for all other covenants. Building on the site will blight the landscape, and devastate wildlife habitats.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement H: Loss of open space and a

			recreational/community facility J: Loss of wildlife and wildlife habitat.
281	SN	One of the key reasons for purchasing a property in the area was the golf course, and the amenity land it offered. Residents have not been consulted on the proposed changes which were assured would mean the golf course could not be developed. The Agreement has 102 years to run which expect to be honoured. Whilst recognising the need for additional housing, open spaces should not be developed, especially when Bracknell is blighted by an oversupply of office buildings that remain vacant. A another housing estate will make residents reliant on private cars, while housing should have been more focused on the town centre regeneration. The development of the site is poorly considered and fails to reflect the needs and interests of the residents.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course.
282	AH&AT	The open space provides an important community facility for residents. The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. Breaking the Agreement will set a dangerous precedent for all other covenants.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.

283	AT	The Council should not go back on their word to protect the golf course from development. There has been no consideration to the existing population of the users of the facility.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
284	BF	Tax payers' money should not be used to break a legal agreement signed on behalf of residents to protect the site. There is no justification for the Council to overturn the Agreement, which could set a dangerous precedent elsewhere. Building on the golf course will affect the enjoyment of open space, sports and recreation/community facilities, and the green gap between Binfield and Bracknell.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
285	DT	One of the parties wishes to renege on the Agreement with 102 years left to pass before the end of the original agreement is reached. The Council has not properly consulted local residents whose legal titles to their properties may be affected by the proposed changes were it to be made. Object to the time and money used by public servants seeking to unravel firm undertakings entered into previously. The Council has facilitated dramatic encroachment into the green gap between Binfield and Bracknell when approving the development of Temple Park, which could be further eroded, with the remaining green strip serving no genuine recreational or leisure purpose. The modification would also run contrary to the Localism Act 2011, which involves more decision making by local communities. If the Agreement were to be modified how could residents believe the content of any future proposals by the Council?	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes. F: Council money should not be spent on changing



			the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
286	FT	One of the parties wishes to renege on the Agreement with 102 years left to pass before the end of the original agreement is reached. The Council has not properly consulted local residents whose legal titles to their properties may be affected by the proposed changes were it to be made. Object to the time and money used by public servants seeking to unravel firm undertakings entered into previously. The Council has facilitated dramatic encroachment into the green gap between Binfield and Bracknell when approving the development of Temple Park, which could be further eroded, with the remaining green strip serving no genuine recreational or leisure purpose. The modification would also run contrary to the Localism Act 2011, which involves more decision making by local communities. If the Agreement were to be modified how could residents believe the content of any future proposals by the Council?	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
287	HF	If the legal Agreement is now to be broken there was no point making the Agreement to begin with. Residents of Temple Park have not been consulted, despite them having the covenant in their deeds. It is contradictory that the owner of the land now wishes to destroy the green land and build more houses, in an already congested area, with little thought of the necessary infrastructure.	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient
288	H&MB	When buying a property part of the decision was based on the surround space created by Blue Mountain. Residents have not been consulted on the removal of the Agreement, and have not agreed to the removal of the covenant. It is not right that the same developer who signed the Agreement is now wishing to break	A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area

		it for further financial gain, or that taxpayers' money should be used to break the Agreement.	E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement. L: The modification has only been sought for financial gain and will impact on local property prices.
289	J&DM	Any development not only breaks the Agreement at a cost to the tax payer without any consultation, but will destroy the rurality of the area. As there is national recognition of obesity problems, the Council wishes to remove a facility that provides exercise for residents and those further afield.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
290	PB&EW	The green spaces, trees, and closeness of the nature and quiet attracted people to live in the area. Wildlife is another important aspect of the neighbourhood, which will be directly impacted by development. When purchasing property were led to believe that there was an Agreement that the golf course could not be built on for many years.	B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. J: Loss of wildlife and wildlife habitat.
291	SP	Appalled by the concentrated quantity of development planned in Binfield recently, and the overturning of a legal agreement is outrageous. To destroy the Blue Mountain site for more development will just create another suburb of Bracknell and negate the desirability of the area, making Bracknell less desirable overall. The traffic at rush hour will be impacted on significantly by the scale of this development.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient
292	SD	The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main	A: The consultation process including lack of

		<p>reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the recreational/community facilities, vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell</p>	<p>consultation on changes to Property Deeds  B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.  C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.  E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.  F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement  H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.</p>
293	S&SD	<p>The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to area was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the recreational/community facilities, vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. There will be parking problems due to the stadium and when the school day is finished. There are many vacant offices in the borough which should be used first.</p>	<p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds  B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.  C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.  E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other</p>

			<p>sporting/open space purposes.  F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement  H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.  K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course.  G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient</p>
296	NR	The removal of the covenant would be a breach of Human Rights and contravene the legal titles of the residents of Temple Park	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.  E: The Agreement is referred to in Temple Park Property Deeds and houses were sold/purchased in the knowledge of this and the restriction on the golf course land being used only for golf course or other sporting/open space purposes.</p>
301	JMD	This plan will rob Bracknell of the surrounding countryside. Decision makers do not care about local people. Strenuously object to the planned development	<p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.  C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p>
306	DMV&EHF	Recreational and aesthetic advantages to the area are being threatened. Local residents have not been consulted on the proposed changes which may affect the legal title, while pressure on local facilities, such as traffic will be increased. Signing a legal agreement and then trying to change it is a mockery of the law.	<p>A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds  H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.  C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent.</p>

			The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient
312	CEW	Additional housing is not required and there are not enough doctors, and the roads are already congested.	K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient
313	JC	Blue Mountain acts as a green area separating Binfield and Bracknell. The legal agreement was signed for 125 years and should not be overturned	D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
314	PM	It is appreciated that new houses are needed but the beautiful surroundings in which we live should not be destroyed.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
315	TP	There are plenty of other sites to develop without removing a space which is already surrounded.	K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course
316	JJ	The little remaining open space between Binfield and Warfield will be lost, thereby destroying any chance of wildlife survival. Temple Way will have to be made a dual carriage way to accommodate the additional traffic, although it's unclear who would finance such an expense.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. J: Loss of wildlife and wildlife habitat G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient
317	PH	The Agreement was put in place to protect the land for 102 years and signed on behalf of the Council, there is no reason for this to change. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the agreement. One of the main reasons for buying property in the area was access to the golf course, and there are plenty of other sites which could be developed in preference to this site.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course
318	JH	The golf course is a valid local resource and should be retained. Council tax	F: Council money should not be spent on changing

		payers' money should not be used to fund any attempt to break the Agreement. Furthermore, the green gap between the Binfield Village and Bracknell Town will be lost, while traffic will be increased due to the additional residents.	the Agreement H: Loss of open space and recreational facilities. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.
319	SMF	The land was pledged by Luff Ltd for 125 years, so there is no reason to change the legal agreement. It is madness to have Bracknell FC on site when Binfield FC is only 200 yards away. The open land is vital to allow wildlife to prevail.	H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility. J: Loss of wildlife and wildlife habitat C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.
320	JMW	It cannot be right that the owner who signed this agreement should now be able to break the contract in order to build houses. Building yet more houses including two schools on this green area would totally ruin what is at the moment a pleasant country area and should not be permitted.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility.
321	SA	The Council have not consulted on the proposed changes. One of the main reasons for moving to Temple Park was the close proximity to the golf course and open spaces. The Agreement was signed on behalf of the residents with 102 years remaining, and there is no reason to change this. Tax payers' money should not be used to break the legal agreement, while developing the site will affect the enjoyment of the vista and the current green gap between Binfield and Bracknell. There is not enough infrastructure to support another 400+ houses, two schools and a football stadium.	C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement. F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement. B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area. D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost. A: The consultation process including lack of consultation on changes to Property Deeds

			G: Existing infrastructure is insufficient
294	LM	One of the reasons for buying property in the area was the proximity to the golf course and open space, and the property was sold with the covenant in place and it should not be changed or removed. An Agreement is in place, with 102 years remaining on it. It is therefore wrong that taxpayers' money should be used to break the legal agreement. Building on the golf course will affect quality of life, and there will be less green open space and recreation/community facilities.	<p>B: The Golf Course was a reason for moving into the area.</p> <p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>F: Council money should not be spent on changing the Agreement</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility</p>
295	P&JF	The Blue Mountain site should be retained as open space. The Council should not seek to remove the Agreement because it suits them, and makes a mockery of the law. When moving to Binfield did not expect it to be swallowed up by the urban sprawl of Bracknell. There are many empty properties in Bracknell and places that could be converted, especially for those who cannot afford to get onto the housing ladder. This should be concentrated on rather than decimating the countryside and squeezing out the wildlife.	<p>C: The agreement protecting the golf course land was for a period of 125 years, and should not be changed, particularly by those who signed the Agreement. It would set a dangerous precedent. The credibility of the Council is damaged if it reneges on the Agreement.</p> <p>D: Binfield is being overdeveloped and the gap between the village and Bracknell will be lost.</p> <p>H: Loss of open space and a recreational/community facility</p> <p>J: Loss of wildlife and wildlife habitat</p> <p>K: Other sites should be developed before the golf course</p>